THE POLITICAL WILDERNESS
INDIA’S TIGER CRISIS

a report by the Environmental Investigation Agency
The Political Wilderness - India's Tiger Crisis

Introduction

There are three subspecies of tiger that have become extinct this century without a whisper and only five remain. India is home to two-thirds of the world population of tigers. The immediate threat to their survival is from poaching to supply the Asian markets for tiger bones and body parts. In India the Royal Bengal tiger edges towards extinction because of a complete lack of political will to save it. Indian experts know the problems, are aware of some of the solutions and advocate them daily. But they are routinely ignored.

This report stands as a plea to the office of the Indian Prime Minister to act to reverse the rapid loss of India's wildlife and forests.

Executive summary

The primary factor threatening the Indian tiger, its habitat and other wildlife, is the complete lack of political will on the part of the Prime Minister of India's office to act. Expert committee reports recommending strong, effective action are filed away on Ministry shelves. The Indian Board for Wildlife - supposedly India's highest wildlife advisory body chaired by the Prime Minister - has not even met for the past eight years.

Environmental protection and wildlife conservation have been relegated to the political wilderness.

India has faced a huge onslaught on its wildlife before. In the early 1970s field surveys revealed that tigers were rapidly disappearing, mainly because of the international skin trade and hunting. The Government, recognising that strong leadership and swift action were required, enacted decisively: new legislation was enacted, new protected areas, including Tiger Reserves with special Government support, were created and bans on hunting and trading were imposed with new Government structures and increased resources. Although some funds came from abroad, India provided most of the financing itself because it was unacceptable to its Government and people that their tigers, and the forests in which they lived, would be gone forever.

The tiger was rescued from extinction because Indian politicians, led by the Prime Minister, recognised that future generations would forever blame them if the tiger "burning bright" was extinguished forever. India became a world leader in wildlife conservation and deservedly enjoyed a respected and distinguished voice in the international community.

The legislation and Government structures remain. There are still some Government officers who go beyond the call of duty to fight for India's wildlife despite the huge political pressures against them. In the forests some of the poorly paid forest guards and rangers still fight on against poachers, illegal loggers and illegal industrial developments. Extraordinarily, despite some of the most difficult conditions and, in some cases the non-payment of wages for months, some of these people are still willing to risk their lives to protect the forests. Some of them have been killed. Others, after years of exemplary and effective service, are moved to areas where they can no longer be effective, seemingly as a disincentive to others who may still take pride in their work.
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The laws set up to protect India’s wildlife and environment are strong but, unenforced and open to flagrant abuse, they have become useless. If poachers and major wildlife traders are caught, they are usually released on bail the next day and rarely face any punishment. Even India’s most notorious wildlife trader remains free and apparently unpunishable, protected in the courts by expensive lawyers. A Government commission reports that 98% of dams completed or under construction in its survey of 319 dams have failed to comply with mandatory conditions laid down by the Ministry of Environment and Forests. Meanwhile, a Tiger Reserve field director symbolically ties a ribbon across the sluice gates of a completed dam to “prevent” it from flooding part of the Reserve, destroying habitat and displacing villagers.

The failures of the Government do not remain undocumented. Some of the most prolific critics of the Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF) projects are expert committees and commissions set up by MoEF itself. In this respect MoEF could be congratulated for taking such criticism so openly, except that most of the reports of these commissions are filed away on the dusty shelves of the Ministry, never again to see the light of day. One day historians from future generations will dust off the files to discover why India has no forest left with no wildlife and no forest-dwelling tribal people.

Economic pressures

India’s rapid change of direction to a free market economy has let loose a variety of powerful factors and created new icons and dramatic measures of “success”. Some investors step cautiously into the Indian economy has let loose a variety of powerful factors and created new icons and dramatic measures of “success”. Some investors step cautiously into the Indian economy. The immediate problem facing the tiger is not the massive human population in India as many people would like to depict it. Of course this is an ongoing threat that causes conflict with all wildlife, especially in a country where the majority of the population is rural. But it does not cause the loss of one tiger every day. That is caused by a growing demand for tiger bones, paws and tails in traditional Chinese medicine and for frivolous food and souvenirs. The increase in wealth in China and the increase in value of tiger bone has led to the demise of all remaining tiger populations. Every time a ranger, forest guard, or even poacher is killed, it is directly caused by the buyers of tiger products.

The increase in wealth in China and the increase in value of tiger bone has led to the demise of all remaining tiger populations. Every time a ranger, forest guard, or even poacher is killed, it is directly caused by the buyers of tiger products.

EIA fully endorses international efforts through the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), and outside it, to bring this trade to a complete halt. India and other tiger range states have good reason to be angered by continuing trade. Widows and families of murdered field staff have good reason to wonder why relatively wealthy countries where the trade is illegal, will not, and do not, stamp out the trade completely. The international community has good reason to sanction the Japanese Government for refusing even to ban all tiger parts trade. Real and meaningful pressure on countries failing to relieve tiger range states of the insatiable consumer demand for their tigers must be applied. It can be done and much has been achieved in the last three years, but not enough.

The new Indian Government has a real opportunity to give genuine priority to reverse its serious neglect of India’s remaining wilderness. It will need to harness support from State Governments, relevant Ministries and the Planning Commission. It will need to listen to all its Indian advisors and draft out and implement them. This will take political courage and true leadership and will deserve the strongest support from the world’s leaders. Without political leadership India’s tigers, rhinos and elephants, together with many less visible species, will disappear within the next few years.

Try explaining that to the children of India.

Dave Carrey
Director, EIA
22nd October 1996

EIA investigators examining tiger skeleton.
International trade

The tiger: an international symbol of power and freedom, revered in eastern mythology, a creature of the ‘jungle’, star of Rudyard Kipling’s “Jungle Book”, Disney movies, company logos. Known by people of every culture in every part of the world. Three subspecies gone forever this century, fewer than 6,000 live wild tigers left in the world, all on the verge of extinction, with two thirds in India. Tiger skins, bones, paws for sale in Chinese communities all over the world. Political compromises made at CITES, failure of some Governments to enforce legislation and failure of Japan, a major consumer, even to bother to ban all trade. US Sanctions on Taiwan have had some effect but “most favoured nation” China considered too powerful to threaten. South Korean traders rush to stockpile tiger bones because of their imminent extinction.

A very sorry tale of a world unwilling to save one of its best known animals.

Skin and bones

The world was touched by the concept of an India without tigers and in the early 1970s efforts were made to stop tiger poaching and end trade in tiger skins. The anti-fur campaigns in Europe and the USA contributed to relieving India of some of the pressure created by the international trade. It was not until the late 1980s that the first signs of tiger poaching for their bones emerged in India. The infrastructure to fight the poaching was no longer in place and the pressure of the tiger bone trade was relatively unknown. Behind the trade were the traditional Chinese medicine factories mainly based in China. The skins, previously so prized, became the secondary market.

During this period the Chinese economy had changed and was on the verge of tremendous acceleration. Other Chinese communities in Hong Kong, Taiwan, South Korea and Thailand were already experiencing increased wealth. All of a sudden, the expensive medicines made from endangered species became affordable to an increasing number of people and drinking tiger penis soup became a status symbol. At the same time, because of poaching and habitat loss, the tiger populations in most of Asia, already decimated for tiger bones, were reduced even further.

The rarity of tigers pushed up prices and the only substantial “supplier” of wild tigers remaining was India.

During the 1980s other factors affected the increase in poaching around the world. Wildlife crimes were, and in most countries are, considered of very low priority. The wildlife syndicates in India, parts of Africa, Taiwan, China, Hong Kong, Japan, Europe and North America all flourished. Today illegal wildlife trade is considered by Interpol to be the second largest illegal trade in the world, valued in excess of US$6 billion annually. Illegal international trade has always survived by diversifying its routes, its contacts and its commodities. The low risk of detection, coupled with extremely high profits, make it very attractive to the biggest international smugglers. Why risk heavy penalties for drug smuggling if equally huge profits can be made out of wildlife with no real risk of imprisonment? Some traders do both.

Japan continues the trade

One of the biggest consumers of Chinese tiger medicines is Japan. Japan has no wild tigers but has been a primary destination for tiger parts and derivatives. All tigers were listed on Appendix 1 of CITES in 1975 (except the Siberian subspecies listed in 1987) which banned all commercial international trade. Japan joined CITES in 1980 but, despite this, in 1990, almost two tonnes of tiger bone were imported from Taiwan according to statistics. It is reported that as recently as 1992, 14.4 million capsules of tiger derivatives were imported from China alone. Between 1990 and 1992 over 71 tonnes of tiger products, 6,480 containers, 40,000 bottles and 492 cartons of tiger wine were imported from China. In 1993 an import quota of 21.6 million bottles and 492 cartons of tiger wine were imported from China alone. Between 1990 and 1992 over 71 tonnes of tiger products, 6,480 containers, 40,000 bottles and 492 cartons of tiger wine were imported from China. In 1993 an import quota of 21.6 million bottles and 492 cartons of tiger wine was set. During the period between 1990 and 1992 Japan accounted for 38% of China’s exports of tiger products (excluding grains, capsules and pills). In an EIA investigative telephone survey in 1995 it was found that 48% of 46 stores contacted in Tokyo and Yokohama admitted stocking products containing tiger parts. A smaller spot check of 6 stores not previously telephoned revealed that all of them had tiger products. The products were pills and tiger bone wine.

After considerable international pressure Japan brought in new legislation to ban trade in endangered species in June 1999, but even then failed to ban products which were “not readily recognisable” such as pills and all tiger derivative capsules. In further measures Japan has decided to “regulate” trade in tiger parts by means of a voluntary management system within the industry although there is no evidence of any enforcement activity. It therefore remains one of the few countries, and one of the largest consuming countries, not even to have legislation banning sale of products from this highly endangered species.

International trade routes

The Indian tiger bone trade has followed some traditional trade routes and, like many wildlife trade routes, has hidden in the dark corners of war torn areas and oppressive regimes. The two main tiger bone trade routes pass through Nepal to Tibet and directly into Tibet. The third takes advantage of the military dictatorship in Burma. Curiously, all these routes are overlaid and involve bartering other products – including wildlife, drugs and arms. The destination of the bone always seems to be China where the manufacture of medicines takes place. It seems likely that some tiger bone also travels directly from India to its destination by air. The import and export of unprocessed tiger bone has been illegal in China since it joined CITES in 1981, but the domestic sale was not banned until 1993. Nonetheless, trade continues.

The main markets for the skins are in the Middle East, parts of Europe and Southeast Asia.

China’s appetite for tigers

New legislation and some evidence of increased enforcement in China must be recognised. However, the markets for tiger parts still indicate that China’s trade remains very active.

The primary destination for Indian tiger parts is still China. Evidence from South Korea shows that tiger bone imports from Thailand and Indonesia were surpassed for the first time in 1991 by imports from China. This is despite the fact that China has only a handful of wild tigers left. These exports of bone coincide with the increase in poaching of Indian tigers and evidence of the transport of their bones to China.

Meanwhile, China is the main supplier of traditional Chinese medicines for Chinese communities throughout the world. Tiger parts have been found and seized in the USA, Canada, the UK and Belgium as well as the usual Southeast Asian countries. Between 1990 and 1992 Hong Kong was the main importer of Chinese tiger products (excluding grains, capsules and pills) taking 48% of the business. Hong Kong is a trading post between China and the rest of the world for Chinese products and provides a convenient intermediary. Recent attempts by the Hong Kong Government to clean up endangered species sales from Chinese pharmacies are unlikely to have done more than scratch the surface of the transit trade.

International culpability

It is entirely fair for Indian conservationists to blame the international community for its failure to end the international trade in tiger parts. But nothing that the international community does will be effective without political leadership in India. Some international progress has been made in the last three years since powerful campaigns were launched against the main consumers, but not enough to prevent the demise of the last tiger. The increased value of tiger parts and the very low populations of all five sub species of tiger mean that even one major Chinese medicine factory could cause extinction.
Indian poaching

**At least 1 tiger poached every day in India**

The trade in and hunting of wildlife is virtually banned in India under the amendment (1991) of the 1972 Wildlife (Protection) Act. Nonetheless, the poaching of tigers has increased considerably in the last 8 years of a Governmental consultation has set in. There is considerable disagreement between the Government of India (GOI) and tiger experts over the number of tigers poached annually in recent years. The GOI has recently accepted that there has been serious poaching, but ludicrously claims that the situation is now under control, despite the fact that the Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF) has no central reporting system and much of the detection has been by non-governmental organisations. At the same time the Ministry claims that seizures of tiger parts do not reflect increased poaching but better enforcement.

It is accepted by enforcement agencies all over the world that seizures of illegal goods, whether of wildlife products or of other contraband, represent only the tip of the iceberg. Indian conservationists have claimed that for every seizure of parts of one tiger, eight tigers have been snatched, and this could be fairly accurate. Available data shows that 64 tigers were killed by poachers in 1994 and 114 in 1995. If the 8:1 ratio is used this known figure would extrapolate to a figure which suggests that at least 1-2 tigers are poached in India every day.

**Renewed poaching**

It was in the late 1980s that the rise in tiger poaching became apparent again but this time the target was increasingly tiger bone. The reason for this seems to be that the traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) manufacturers in China were running out of stocks of tiger bones. The tigers in China, Siberia, Indonesia and other Southeast Asian countries were in serious decline or almost extinct. Traders sell their skins on the Indian tiger and clove trade has increased considerably.

**Tiger poaching out of control**

Most tiger poaching is carried out by local and rural lands by local forest dwellers or subsistence farmers. The poachers are often encouraged to kill tigers by middlemen who are paid by the big traders. Tigers are usually poisoned but they are also shot and trapped. It has recently been learned that some traders now employ people to kill tigers and leopards. Other reports show that poison is supplied to villagers free of charge.

Poison (often Aldrin - a common pesticide) is either laid in a buffalo or cow carcass already killed by a tiger, or to avoid its return, is put in small forest water pools. Steel traps are placed throughout a forest, in some cases making it difficult for villagers to enter the forest in search of sustenance from the traps. Guns are used when there is little fear of being caught.

It is reported by the Wildlife Protection Society of India that in 1994 four tribal people were paid USD14 each to kill a tiger in the State of Madhya Pradesh. The killing method may cost USD30 for poison or USD80 for a steel trap. A middleman may receive USD$40 - USD140 for a tiger skin and at most USD2.40 for the bones. A major trader who deals with the foreign buyer will sell a whole tiger (skin and bones) for up to USD$5,200.

Although not considered widespread, there has been a report of tigers and leopards being killed for meat in the north eastern State of Nagaland. An Indian newspaper report states "Like the Chinese, the Nagas have gobbled up their wildlife. In fact they feast on anything that creeps and crawls. Visitors to Nagaland cannot help noticing the intense stillness - the absence of twittering birds and animal sounds."

Poaching seems to continue unabated: by the end of August 1996 there had already been 27 tiger skins and 44 kg of bone seized and information on a further 21 tiger deaths. Around Dudhwa Tiger Reserve five tiger skins, four leopard skins, 16 tiger bones and 15 kg of ivory were seized in three separate incidents in a four week period.

**The Tiger State - the last stronghold breached**

The State of Madhya Pradesh was declared “The Tiger State” in 1994 by the State Government in recognition of its unique status and in an attempt to attract development funds. This may be the last stronghold for the tiger in the world. The State still has 21% forest cover and may be home to over a quarter of India’s wild tigers and about one fifth of the world population of all wild tigers.

It has also been hit very hard by poachers and between May and July 1994 two NGO investigations, with the help of informers and undercover work, reported on the trade in tigers and other wildlife in Madhya Pradesh. Cat skins were found in every town visited. The districts with the biggest problems were Jabalpur, Mandla, Balaghat, and Satta. In these districts alone, 42 tiger and leopard poachers and 32 skin traders were identified. The skins and bones of 39 freshly killed tigers were offered, with further information on 45 tiger and leopard skins.

**Tiger trade routes**

Tigers are poached in virtually all tiger range areas of India. Recent hopes that it had not yet reached southern India were dashed by a seizure of a skin in Bandipur Tiger Reserve in July 1995. The skins and bones are dealt through traders in the main cities and kept in different places to avoid detection.

The main routes out of India are through the States of Jammu and Kashmir, Arunachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal, Nagaland and Manipur. The town of Leh in the Ladakh region of Jammu and Kashmir had been considered a major trading route until recent seizures appeared to have put a stop to this. However, with the more recent seizure of a skin in Leh it seems that bone and skin smuggling are still active.

Other routes are through the States of Arunachal Pradesh, Sikkim and neighbouring countries Nepal, Bhutan and Bangladesh. Major wildlife smuggling routes all lead to China. In some cases the tiger bones are sent by rail or air and at other times carried by yak by Tibetan nomads. The town of Pothorgarh (Uttar Pradesh) and Shiliguri (West Bengal) have both been routes through to Tibet. Gangtok (Sikkim) and Bomdila (Arunachal Pradesh) are also on the tiger bone and skin smuggling route.

In Burma the wildlife trade is reported to be under the control of the military rulers and passes along the same routes as the timber and opium trade to Yunnan in China. Major wildlife trade towns on the same routes to Burma are also on the tiger bone and skin smuggling route.
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Notorious Wildlife Trader Walks Free - Again and Again

One of the obstacles to stamping out poaching in India is the abject failure of the judicial system to deal swiftly and justly with poachers and traders. There are repeated claims of bribery of officials and judges.

The case of Sansar Chand is probably the most important and graphically the failure of India’s justice system to stamp out illegal wildlife trade. He is said to be responsible for most of the major wildlife crime in northern India. Sansar Chand comes from a family of wildlife traders and has been involved himself for about 24 years. He is believed to control the major poaching in Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, and Madhya Pradesh. Many cases of poaching and seizures of skins and bone are reported to lead back to him. His network is said to be very sophisticated with agents who hire people to kill the animals and skin them. He is also said to have set up a network to get the skins and bones out of India especially to East Asia for Chinese medicine. Although there seems little doubt that Sansar Chand is one of the major dealers in India, he is not believed to be connected to Mafia or any other criminal groups. Wildlife trade has been in his family for generations. He knows nothing else and is clever enough to avoid punishment by his astute use of the weaknesses in the Indian legal system.

He recently avoided going to prison by falling “sick”, which prevented the authorities from being allowed to interrogate him. He was released on bail. In another clever move, to avoid being prosecuted in Uttar Pradesh where cases are pending against him, he had himself put under judicial custody for failing to produce a bail bond - which he claimed this was to escape persecution by the forest officials and wildlife NGOs who have fought to get him convicted.

Sansar Chand was finally jailed for offences in January 1993 for a case that was first heard in 1974. It had taken 19 years for the Indian legal system to deal with the proceedings, during which time his illegal operations continued to seriously impact India’s wildlife. In many cases in Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh, poachers and traders caught with their loot have implicated Sansar Chand as the “owner” of the products.

After the July 1995 proceedings Sansar Chand was released again and further cases and hearings are continuing. The four main forest officials from Uttar Pradesh who had caught Sansar Chand and pursued him to Delhi, were transferred. Their transfers will make it virtually impossible to continue these cases against Sansar Chand and observers read this as a loud and clear statement from the Uttar Pradesh State Government: “stay away from Sansar Chand.”

The head of the team, the Conservator of Forests (Meerut Division) has been appointed regional manager of the Forest Corporation, a post which had lain unfilled for the previous two and a half years. The Ministry of Environment and Forests is reported to have described these transfers by Uttar Pradesh Government: “stay away from Sansar Chand.”

Some of the cases Sansar Chand has been involved in:

- 25/10/92 - seizure of 29,369 skins including 1 tiger, 5 leopard, 1,223 small cats and 23,800 snake and other skins.
- 22/10/92 - seizure of 92 skins and 30 kg tiger bone (1 tiger, 17 leopard and 74 otter).
- 27/06/92 - seizure of 45 skins (3 tiger, 29 leopard, 2 clouded leopard, 5 crocodile and 6 jackal).
- 11/5/93 - seizure of 20 skins (6 leopard and 14 otter).
- 8/8/93 - 265 skins (8 tiger, 163 leopard, 92 small cat and others).
- 4/5/95 - 1 leopard skin.
- 28/6/95 - 2 leopard skins, 5.5 kg tiger bone.
- 6/7/95 - 1 leopard skin.
- 17/7/95 - 1 leopard skin.
Other illegal wildlife trade in India

While this report focuses mainly on the plight of the tiger and its habitat, many other species are suffering the same fate. It is therefore important to see the tiger trade in the context of the illegal trade in other wildlife.

There is an almost complete failure of the system to enforce the trade bans under the Indian Wildlife (Protection) Act. Although trade is considered secured to have reduced since it was banned, many wildlife commodities are still illegally traded in vast numbers. For instance, in an undercover study in Madras in 1994, it was revealed that 400,000 snake skins were annually available.

Rhinos

The Indian one-horned rhinoceros is under very serious threat of being wiped out. Since 1992 it is reported that 123 one-horned rhinos have been poached in their last stronghold – Kaziranga National Park in the State of Assam. It has already been exterminated from Lokkhal Wildlife Sanctuary, where only thirteen years ago nearly 5% of the world population remained. The poachers are exploiting the civil conflicts in the north east of India and the proximity of the rhino populations to Tibet, China, Burma and India. There is a strong connection between the rhino horn and the drugs/arms trade. Gangs of poachers sell wildlife and exquisitely valuable rhino horns in exchange for Chinese arms which are used to supply the Burmese military.

Leopards

Leopards are poached alongside tigers and their bones are also prized in Chinese medicine. In 1994 EIA was proudly told by a pharmacist in Guangzhou, China, that State. It is clear, however, that any sale in any other Indian State is illegal.

The trade in shawls and in the wool of the endangered Tibetan Antelope (Pantholops hodgsoni) involves consumption of a Tibetan species in India. Indians also sell the wool manufactured from this species to countries all over the world.

All commercial international trade in Tibetan Antelope is prohibited by its Appendix 1 listing on CITES. All internal trade is prohibited because of its Schedule I listing on the Indian Wildlife (Protection) Act (WPA). Nonetheless, the State of Jammu and Kashmir’s legislation does not conform to the WPA and has not prohibited the trade in shahtoosh within that State. It is clear, however, that any sale in any other Indian State is illegal.

The trade in shawls and in the wool of a domesticated goat (pashmina) is linked to the tiger bone and skin trade. The tiger parts are transported over the mountains by Tibetan nomads who are often paid in shahtoosh and pashmina. In this way, a trader who invests in tiger parts can greatly increase his profits by dealing in the wool and selling goods manufactured from it. It has been reported that profit margins can increase by 60% when exchanging tiger bone for shahtoosh.

The Tibetan antelope is found in the high regions of Tibet and north western India bordering Tibet although it is doubtful if the Indian population exceeds 50 animals. The Tibetan population is about 35,000 animals. It is a small animal which lives above the tree line and the wool is collected from the animals after they have been killed. Scientist George Schaller reports that the annual population decreases by 600% when exchanging tiger bone for shahtoosh. He also claimed to have a stock of skins under lock and key registered with the Indian Government in Kashmir which were worth for sale: 100 leopard skins, 15-20 tiger skins and snow and woolled leopard skins.

KASHMIR GOVERNMENT OWNED SHOPS

In both Bombay and Calcutta, EIA investigators visited the Kashmir Government Arts Emporium to see if shahtoosh was illegally available. In both shops it was openly for sale and the general sales talk was very similar. They had received it the previous week. They showed the Kashmir Government emblazoned labels and stamps on the shawl and explained that the Government provides a certificate of authenticity. As in Calcutta, they explained that they issued two invoices on Government paper - one real and another undervalued for Customs. The goods are described on Customs documents as “handicrafts”. They claimed to be able to supply 50 shawls per month without difficulty.

SKIN TRADE

Many species are poached for their skins. In 1994 and the first three months of 1995 the Wildlife Protection Department reported that the skins of 339 jackals, 144 wild canes, 264 dholes and 126 hyenas were seized by police. Additionally they seized 416 kg of skin of the common fox, three tiger skins, 7 fishing cat skins and 21 mule skins.

In 1994 over 143 leopard skins were seized as well as mounted heads and a whole stuffed specimen. In 1995 at least 28 skins were seized along with various leopard parts and 8 kg of leopard bones. In the first four months of 1996 two dead leopards were found and 64 skins seized including two big hauls of 20 leopard skins each in Orai and West Bengal. It is reported that the skins in the West Bengal seizure were from Assam and destined for Calcutta.
Project Tiger lost its way

Launched in 1973, Project Tiger now administers 23 Tiger Reserves and ploughs additional funds into these protected areas. The initial success of the Project seems to have effectively hidden from its administrators a number of serious problems which were building up. As Indian and international conservationists patrolled themselves on the back a number of serious factors were emerging. It would be unfair to say that these problems were not recognised, but they were certainly not dealt with in the same diligent and energetic manner displayed at Project Tiger’s launch. As tiger populations recovered and Tiger Reserves flourished, complacency set in: Meanwhile the threats grew.

In the last 5 years the directorate of Project Tiger in New Delhi has failed to co-ordinate, assist or innovate rapid field action in the interest of the tiger. Bureaucracy and rhetoric are in greater supply than action. The recently retired director informed EIA that as a Government servant it was his job “to play down scandals.”

The director of Project Elephant wrote on 22nd July 1996 that “the Government of India considers the conservation of tiger a sensitive issue.” He asked the director of the Wildlife Institute of India to “assure the Government of India that no sensitive information will be let out”. At the time of going to print, the position of director of Project Tiger had been vacant for 2 months.

Some key factors affecting Project Tiger

● Political will to save tigers and their ecosystems evaporated with the assassinations of India and their Rajiv Gandhi. Abuse of power and political corruption increased and started to demoralise even committed field staff.

● Greedy Chinese tiger bone dealers turned their attention on India when tigers were virtually wiped out everywhere else and bone stockpiles were used up. This coincided with increasing economic growth in Chinese communities.

● India turned to a market economy which created greater expectations of development and consumerism, accelerating illegal use of natural resources.

● India’s human population increased by 300 million and the population of livestock in India increased by over 100 million.

● Poor rural villagers, displaced from their ancestral forests to create core areas, were not given sufficient support to create new lives outside the ecosystems they knew so well.

● Politicians, wildlife traders and developers stirred local discontent for their own ends.

● Political insurgents started to use the forests as their sanctuaries. The human population increase and the consequent increase in demand for fuel wood and firewood has left some of these areas no longer suitable tiger habitat.

In a recent study, an area surrounding Bandhavgarh Tiger Reserve in the State of Madhya Pradesh which was reported to be home to 36 tigers was visited. However, the report stated that the tiger “was conspicuous by its absence from most areas except in the immediate vicinity of the protected areas.” It also noted that there was hardly any prey base to sustain a tiger population. Villagers and forest department field staff were interviewed and it was clear that tigers had been present until quite recently, but any signs of them now are patchy.

Many conservationists have already reconciled themselves to the idea that tigers will probably only survive in a handful of protected areas and will disappear completely from land where they compete with people.

Ecodevelopment schemes for local communities and tourists (In the majority of cases where money is being spent others are not able to provide kits for all their staff)

Area management Do not have unitary control of the area 50

Community Have villages in the core area 63

Community Have not conducted any socio-economic survey of the area adjacent to the Reserve 66

Community Are not spending any money on recreational tourism (the majority of cases where money is being spent is too early to comment on tangible effects) 19

Community Are not able to provide any jingle kits for their staff (others are not able to provide kits for all their staff) 30

Community Are not able to provide any radio PHIs for general use (bats) 69

Community Are not getting any special allowances for Project Tiger staff 69

Project Tiger management Do not have any general instructions regarding night patrolling by various levels of staff 31

Legal Do not have legal status in terms of final notification of the Park 62

Anti-poaching Have reported cases of tiger or leopard poaching 19

Anti-poaching Do not have an effective armed strike force for anti-poaching 75

Anti-poaching Do not have a large vehicle for the mobility of this strike force 63

Anti-poaching Do not have adequate funds for intelligence gathering 63

Anti-poaching Do not have self sufficient legal aid to deal with offences and counter offences 75

Anti-poaching Do not have any registration of arms in 10 km radius of Park 69

Staff Do not have a forest guard welfare scheme 81

Staff Do not have a yearly award scheme 49

Staff Are not able to provide any jungle kits for their staff (others are not able to provide kits for all their staff) 30

Ecodevelopment schemes for local communities are often funded by other Government agencies or by larger schemes with outside funding. Funds accrued from Park entrance fees which have been set aside into Reserves as a matter of course. However, the State of Madhya Pradesh, which currently has four Project Tiger Reserves, does pay money earned by Parks back into the Parks and the new Assam State Government has pledged to do the same.¹

Project Tiger Reserves are claimed to be better staffed than other protected areas such as National Parks or Sanctuaries (which are not under Project Tiger). The Project Tiger Reserves are claimed to receive four to five times more money than ordinary National Parks. Salaries are half paid by State Government and half by Central Government. Research, veterinary care, habitat improvement, capital expenditure and compensation are paid by Central Government. Management plans, staff recruitment and similar initiatives are carried out co-operatively between State Forests and Project Tiger.

Ecodevelopment schemes for local communities are often funded by other Government agencies or by larger schemes with outside funding. Funds accrued from Park entrance fees which have been set aside into Reserves as a matter of course. However, the State of Madhya Pradesh, which currently has four Project Tiger Reserves, does pay money earned by Parks back into the Parks and the new Assam State Government has pledged to do the same.¹

Field directors of most Project Tiger Reserves are moved on every three years and where infrastructure is poor (poor schools, no opportunities etc) they try to move more quickly.²
Politics of poaching

The current Indian tiger poaching crisis has been recognised by the Government since the early 1990s. In 1993 the tiger census indicated a large drop in numbers, further fuelling calls for the Government to act. Although many initiatives have been undertaken, all of which look exemplary on paper, there has been an almost complete failure to implement any major activity. During this period protected area land has been diverted to other uses, poaching networks have become more organised and resentment of protected areas has continued to be stirred up in local communities.

EIA recognises the hard work and commitment of many Government staff and has heard their frustrations. But the political leadership has failed India’s wildlife. This section highlights Government reaction to the problems and looks at the recommendations of Government reports.

The EIA recognised the hard work and commitment of many Government staff and has heard their frustrations. The political leadership has failed India’s wildlife. This section highlights Government reaction to the problems and looks at the recommendations of Government reports.

Politics of poaching

The Indian Board for Wildlife

This is the highest body for advising on the management of wildlife and is chaired by the Prime Minister. It has not met since 1998.

The Subramanian Committee

Published in August 1994 by MoEF the “report of the committee on prevention of illegal trade in wildlife and wildlife products” was the result of growing concern within India about the increase in poaching and illegal wildlife trade. It was chaired by the former director general of the Central Reserve Police Force and the National Security Guards, Dr. S. Subramanian.

Not a single recommendation in the report has been implemented and it took a year for the Ministry to call a meeting of State wildlife representatives to “review” the findings. This was seen as another delaying tactic. The MoEF claims that the ‘budgetary implications of the recommendations involve the Finance Ministry, and so the failure to act continues. Ashok Kumar, a member of the committee, is quoted as saying “Nothing has come of it, though we have written several follow up letters to the Ministry. The report just continues to gather dust. The Ministry has been accused of failing to take a lead in implementing the recommendations of the report and of allowing itself to be browbeaten into lethargy and virtual inaction.”

The committee made 36 recommendations which were designed to achieve the following:

● Enlist local people in the protection of wildlife (recs. 1-8).
● Develop an enforcement strategy (recs. 9-38).
● Motivate field staff and provide ameliorative measures (recs. 39-48).
● Prevent illegal import and export of wildlife and its products (recs. 49-56).

High Court

Following a High Court writ, the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi directed a committee to be convened to make recommendations to the court. The report of this committee, chaired by M.F. Ahmed, Inspector General of Forests, was published in February 1996. It was highly critical of the current situation and made very strong recommendations (see box overleaf).

Two of its key recommendations are that the Indian Board for Wildlife, which it notes has not met since 1988, is given statutory authority under the Wildlife Protection Act. It also recommends that a new Ministry for Natural Resources (Forests and Wildlife) be set up to deal only with forests and wildlife. It states that “the Ministry of Environment and Forests spends a large portion of its administrative time and finances dealing with the evaluation and facilitation of large scale projects in the industrial, hydro-electric, thermal power, mining and other miscellaneous sectors.”

This committee, chaired by the highest forest and wildlife civil servant in the MoEF makes some damning statements:

“All politicians and leaders of political parties seem to be unwilling to stand up for wildlife and take the risk of formulating a ‘pro-wildlife policy’. Wildlife conservation, which has been implemented mainly through the Protected Areas system and the Wildlife (Protection) Act, is currently under attack as symptomatic of a power system which is undemocratic, authoritarian and contemptuous of the rights and the needs of the local communities affected by the imposition of the protective measures which favour wildlife!”

“The political hierarchy followed by the bureaucracy have very little perception of wildlife, ecology and sustainable management, but nonetheless always decide the fate of wildlife.”

“…as the State governments are doing whatever they want, without any consideration to whatever may be the guidelines or whatever may the directives or even in defiance of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972, with impunity. There is just no priority for wildlife planning in the States.”

“For example, central assistance in development budget allocation even in Centrally Sponsored wildlife schemes do not often reach the field in full. Such funds are often (almost regularly) diverted outside State forestry budget allocation not to speak of wildlife. This causes great harm to the wildlife interests, but the State Governments do not even care to respond to Central Government’s queries in this regard.”

“Quite often people are posted in wildlife management, more or less as a punishment posting. Usually Government is so indifferent and irresponsible to wildlife management that good work goes unnoticed as also bad lapses go unpunished. Strong curative steps have to be taken against such whimsical treatment of wildlife matters by the State Government.”

“…as the State governments are doing whatever they want, without any consideration to whatever may be the guidelines or whatever may the directives or even in defiance of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972, with impunity. There is just no priority for wildlife planning in the States.”

“All politicians and leaders of political parties seem to be unwilling to stand up for wildlife and take the risk of formulating a ‘pro-wildlife policy’. “

- Report of Committee appointed by High Court of Delhi, February 1996.

The immediate objective of the Subramanian committee was to: (1) enlist active co-operation of the legal people by making protected area management pro-people, pro-poor and pro-nature; (2) upgrading morale and motivation of protected area staff; (3) strengthening of staff capability to prevent crime; and (4) last but not the least the measures to apprehend criminals and achieve quick and deterrent punishment.

None of those recommendations have been implemented.

Evidence of the ongoing poaching of tigers. The Ministry has counter-claimed that it has had some success in stemming much of the poaching with increased enforcement activity and the 1995 tiger census figures claimed an increase in numbers. The tiger census techniques are heavily criticised by many conservationists as being biased upwards. This is because the loss of tigers in any one area would indicate failure by the staff who also carry out the census. The method used is also under question.

Politics of poaching

The Indian Board for Wildlife - India’s highest wildlife advisory body chaired by the Prime Minister - has not even met for 8 years.

The Subramanian Committee

Published in August 1994 by MoEF the “report of the committee on prevention of illegal trade in wildlife and wildlife products” was the result of growing concern within India about the increase in poaching and illegal wildlife trade. It was chaired by the former director general of the Central Reserve Police Force and the National Security Guards, Dr. S. Subramanian.

Not a single recommendation in the report has been implemented and it took a year for the Ministry to call a meeting of State wildlife representatives to “review” the findings. This was seen as another delaying tactic. The MoEF claims that the ‘budgetary implications of the recommendations involve the Finance Ministry, and so the failure to act continues. Ashok Kumar, a member of the committee, is quoted as saying “Nothing has come of it, though we have written several follow up letters to the Ministry. The report just continues to gather dust. The Ministry has been accused of failing to take a lead in implementing the recommendations of the report and of allowing itself to be browbeaten into lethargy and virtual inaction.”

The committee made 36 recommendations which were designed to achieve the following:

● Enlist local people in the protection of wildlife (recs. 1-8).
● Develop an enforcement strategy (recs. 9-38).
● Motivate field staff and provide ameliorative measures (recs. 39-48).
● Prevent illegal import and export of wildlife and its products (recs. 49-56).

High Court

Following a High Court writ, the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi directed a committee to be convened to make recommendations to the court. The report of this committee, chaired by M.F. Ahmed, Inspector General of Forests, was published in February 1996. It was highly critical of the current situation and made very strong recommendations (see box overleaf).

Two of its key recommendations are that the Indian Board for Wildlife, which it notes has not met since 1988, is given statutory authority under the Wildlife Protection Act. It also recommends that a new Ministry for Natural Resources (Forests and Wildlife) be set up to deal only with forests and wildlife. It states that “the Ministry of Environment and Forests spends a large portion of its administrative time and finances dealing with the evaluation and facilitation of large scale projects in the industrial, hydro-electric, thermal power, mining and other miscellaneous sectors.”

This committee, chaired by the highest forest and wildlife civil servant in the MoEF makes some damning statements:

“All politicians and leaders of political parties seem to be unwilling to stand up for wildlife and take the risk of formulating a ‘pro-wildlife policy’.

- Report of Committee appointed by High Court of Delhi, February 1996.
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“All politicians and leaders of political parties seem to be unwilling to stand up for wildlife and take the risk of formulating a ‘pro-wildlife policy’.”

- Report of Committee appointed by High Court of Delhi, February 1996.
Politics of poaching

July 1998 - No constructive follow-up has been undertaken.

The survey of river valley projects

The Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF) is responsible for the clearance of development and industrial projects based on Indian legislation and environmental norms. In 1994 MoEF reconvened six environment appraisal committees to re-examine projects under way.

The most shocking results came from the committee on river valley projects. It found that about 94% of the projects cleared had violated environmental norms. In a letter to the Minister a committee member stated “It was also clear from the presentations that though the regional officers have regularly reported the status of compliance of conditions to the MoEF the MoEF had been unable or unwilling to initiate action against the defaulting project authorities.” A newspaper noted on 17th August 1995 “that the ministry has yet to reply to the letter or undertake remedial measures is an unfortunate underscoring of the ‘inaction’.”

The Global Tiger Forum

It has been over three and a half years since the Global Tiger Forum (GTF) was first mentioned at the “Delhi Declaration” in February 1993. When part of the agreed action including setting up. Then in September 1993 it was again raised at the “Forestry Forum for Developing Countries”, when most Ministers approved of the idea. “The idea was that GTF would work toward the survival and promotion of the tiger in tiger range states.” It has not progressed as had originally been hoped.

The criticism and concern may yet be proven well founded, but the positive elements of the protocol have founded, but the positive elements of the protocol have.

The Indian Government sought an agreement with China at a bilateral level and a former Minister of Environment and Forests, Kamal Nath, signed a protocol with China on March 2nd 1995 which calls upon both countries to co-operate to save the tiger. It includes steps to stop illegal poaching of tigers and cross border smuggling, plans for the bilateral research and training programmes and the exchange of data for wildlife management programmes.

The protocol has been widely criticised for including a reference to “sustainable development of the species” and for its article on captive breeding. Conservationists are suspicious that the language could give some credence to captive breeding schemes to provide bones to the Chinese medicine market. They also fear vital funds will be diverted to “captive breeding programmes” which have little significance in the conservation of the species in the wild. Captive cubs cannot be released into the wild because they require training from their mother. The criticism and concern may yet be proven well founded, but the positive elements of the protocol have.

Survey of river valley projects

The survey of river valley projects found that about 94% of the projects cleared had violated environmental norms. The appraisal committee on river valley projects found that about 94% of the projects cleared had violated environmental norms. The appraisal committee on river valley projects found that about 94% of the projects cleared had violated environmental norms.
Prime Minister, was the Chief Minister in Karnataka State, he said in an interview “I see no relation between liberalisation and environment. My sole concern and objective is that Karnataka becomes number one in industries in the country.”

Such blatant disregard for the environment will gain him many unscrupulous corporate friends but will also make him powerful enemies in a world which has started to learn from its many environmental disasters. No major international company wants to be associated with loss of wildlife or environmental destruction. It costs too much in bad public relations. It is to be hoped that, as Prime Minister, Deve Gowda will recognize the long-term needs of India’s people, and its environment, and not cave in to short-term industrial interests.

A system of neglect

Across the country, essential forest habitat is being lost to mines, logging, hydro and irrigation schemes, power plants, orchards, tea plantations, and aquaculture development. Legislation designed to stop encroachment of protected areas is being systematically circumvented or ignored. Areas in and around National Parks, Tiger Reserves, Wildlife Sanctuaries and even World Heritage Sites and Biosphere Reserves have been destroyed, reducing further the habitat available for the tiger.

Mining activity has devastated thousands of hectares of prime tiger habitat and breaks up corridors, dividing genetic pools for future recovery of tiger populations. 65% of the Project Tiger Reserves suffer from the negative impact of mining. This neglect has not happened by accident. Appropriate authorities have consistently failed to notify or enforce environmental regulations and the Government of India has given a green light to those who are determined to exploit any of the numerous loopholes that riddle the environmental protection system. Industry has its sights on huge profits. Some loopholes have been particularly useful to those seeking to exploit India’s protected areas:

Failure to notify

Declaration by a State or the Government of India that an area has been designated a protected area, does not guarantee that it will officially become one. Across the country, many of the most important protected areas have not yet received full legal notification. In some cases, this has been the situation for decades. In a survey of 16 Project Tiger Reserves in 1995 over 80% of them had not received final notification of the entire Reserve. In such scenarios, the integrity of Reserves can be called into question, as recently occurred in a case concerning tendu leaf collection from forests in Madhya Pradesh. In this case, the Court felt unable to stop the infringements since the Government had failed to notify the Reserves. The judgement added, however, that “inertia in this behalf cannot be tolerated”, and ordered the State Government to complete all notifications within six months of the case.

Denotification

Even if a protected area does gain full legal notification, State or Central Government may later seek to denotify it. State Government can achieve this by a simple resolution in the State legislative assembly. The Government of Maharashtra, for example, denotified about 500 km² (around one third) of the Melghat Tiger Reserve, an area of about 1,618 km² of dry deciduous forest - mostly teak which was designated a Project Tiger Reserve in 1974. It is home to a wide variety of wildlife and plants including tiger, leopard, dhole bear, wild dog, jack, hyena, gaur, sambar, wild boar, chital and nilgai and over 250 species of birds.

The denotification originally stated that it would avoid difficult relocation of 37 villages required under the Wildlife (Protection) Act 1972. However, the 1991 amendment of that Act specifically allowed for villages to remain within the boundaries and there are no villages located within the core area of Melghat Tiger Reserve. There is currently a stay of this order after the Bombay Environmental Action Group and others contested the denotification.

The stated reasons for denotification have to be questioned because since the late 1980s a liberalised economic liberalisation has overtaken by the recent liberalisation of Singapore. There is a strong correlation between economic liberalisation and increased deforestation. The point is that expansion of industry is more important to the Government of India and State Governments than protecting the environment and these are systematically abusing their own laws for increased term industrial interests.

No major international company wishes to be gain him many unscrupulous corporate friends but will also make him powerful enemies in a world which has started to learn from its many environmental disasters. It costs too much in bad public relations. It is to be hoped that, as Prime Minister, Deve Gowda will recognize the long-term needs of India’s people, and its environment, and not cave in to short-term industrial interests.
Tiger, rhinos, elephants - 450 km long, 120 metre wide canal splits vital habitat

In March 1994 former Prime Minister Narasimha Rao assured the delegates at an international meeting of Tiger Range Countries that “the protection of tiger and its habitat has the highest priority on our national agenda, and the Government and people of India will spare no effort to it.” In March 1996, Prime Minister Rao and the King of Bhutan are reported to have signed an agreement to construct a massive hydro and irrigation scheme, straddling the Indo-Bhutanese border called the Sankosh Hydro Project.

The scheme will involve a dam, located on the Bhutanese side of the border, and a main irrigation canal stretching from the dam, across northern West Bengal, to the Farakka barrage. The main irrigation canal, at 7 m deep, 120 m wide and 450 km long, and with a metalled inspection road and embankment along its length, will represent a complete barrier to all wildlife movement and migration along the route.10 The canal will pass right through the core area of Bandipur Tiger Reserve, cutting the Reserve into two halves. Populations of tiger, prey species, and wild elephants will be split into two, with no gene flow between them.11 It will also cause irreparable damage to Jaldapara and Garumara Wildlife Sanctuaries, the only two sanctuaries in West Bengal with rhino populations. In addition, the Mahananda Sanctuary, and parts of the Jumnaat Reserved Forest of Kachugaoon Forest Division in Assam, where the Golden Langur (Presbytis entellus) was discovered, will be desecrated.

The canal will cut across the traditional annual migration route of elephants in north Bengal, isolating and concentrating elephants in an area of high human migration route of elephants in north Bengal, isolating and concentrating elephants in an area of high human

Destruction of a Biosphere Reserve

Construction of the massive Pykara Ultimate Stage Hydro-Electric Project (PUSHEP) is already well advanced. Located in the Nilgiris Biosphere Reserve, home to a third of India’s wild elephant population, the project will affect no fewer than three sanctuaries including the Bandipur Tiger Reserve.

The plans for this hydro-electric project were first announced in the 1980s by the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board. PUSHEP is projected to have horrific ecological consequences, including incursions of wildlife populations, desertification of the thorn forest, and increased human-animal conflicts. According to a study published by the Bombay Natural History Society in February 1996,12 construction has already destroyed a vital elephant corridor, separating the Nilgiris population into two and ultimately leading to their genetic decline. A similar impact on tiger populations can be expected.

There have long been doubt over the economic viability of the project. In 1986, it was rejected by the Central Electric Authority as too expensive, but was later “mysteriously” cleared by the planning commission following approval by the Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF) in 1985.13 Construction is now hopelessly behind schedule, while the projected cost is now nearly twice that estimated in 1986. Half of this has already been spent, even though some of the major contracts have yet to be awarded.14 Local conservationists have now turned to the courts in a last desperate attempt to save the Nilgiris Biosphere Reserve.15

Iron ore prospecting in Kudremukh National Park

Kudremukh National Park is one of Karnataka’s most recently formed Parks, comprising around 660 km2 of the finest evergreen ‘shola’ forest. It is rich in both fauna and flora, and supports a host of endangered species including tigers.16 Kudremukh is also home to the largest iron ore mining project in India, Kudremukh Iron Ore Mining Company Ltd. The mine lies outside the Park but its operation affects both Kudremukh National Park and Bhadra Wildlife Sanctuary. Current mine extraction rates are around 75,000 tonnes of steel every day, providing about 25,000 tonnes of iron ore.

The MoEF has recently issued a prospecting license for the mine in the National Park and roads and prospect mines have already caused devastation. The General Manager defended the prospect mining and the possibility of future full-scale mining in the Park (the only objective of prospecting) by claiming there were “no animals there.” He also questioned why mining should not take place in the National Park if it can be done without environmental damage or with the possibility of improving the environment.17

This massive mining operation exposes the soil to the elements, creating an enormous run-off which heavily pollutes the River Bhadra. This river flows past villages and through the Bhadra Wildlife Sanctuary, a very important local tiger habitat. Sand from the banks of the river 15 km downstream from the mine in the village of Balloomur has been tested in a laboratory and found to be 57% magnetic.

Proposed ACC Cement Plant, Meghalaya

Construction has begun of a large cement project on the boundary of Balakraman National Park, in the Garo Hills of Meghalaya, an area that supports the highest densities of wild elephants in India and numerous other endangered species including tigers, leopards, lesser Pandas, and sun bears. In total, the Park and the surrounding region support 39 species listed in Schedule I of the Wildlife Protection Act 1972.18

The plant and mine sites, to be built by the Associated Cement Corporation (ACC), will cover an area of 14 km2 and are located precisely within a narrow and intensively used elephant corridor. Obstruction of elephant movement will stop essential gene flow between populations, and increase human - elephant conflicts.19

As of March 1996, the Forest Department had still not been notified of the project, and clearance had not been given. Despite this, no measures have been taken to bring the unauthorised construction to a halt. The estimated cost of the project is US$40 million.20 There are proposals for limestone mining in the elephant corridor to supply the factory. An appeal to MoEF has been made by some of the most eminent scientists, experts and biologists in India to stop this proposal and protect the corridor.

Forest for tea in Kalakkad-Mundanthurai Project Tiger Reserve

The tropical forests of the Western Ghats are considered to be one of the greater ‘hotspots’ of biodiversity in the world.21 With a third of the cover lost already, sanctuaries such as the Kalakkad- Mundanthurai Tiger Reserve, represent essential refuges for a wide variety of endangered animals, including tigers, elephants, and leopards.22 In the heart of the Sanctuary on land owned by the State of Tamil Nadu, lies a commercial estate leased by the Bombay Burmah Trading Corporation (BBTC) for tea, coffee and cardamom plantations. The three tea factories, with a resident worker population of 10,000 people, require enormous quantities of fuel wood. It is reported the company has chosen to source this wood illegally from the Reserve for over two decades. Hundreds of acres of pristine-shola forest have been felled in utter disregard of the Forest Conservation Act (1980).

In an attempt to hide illegal activities, BBTC erected chain gates on all access roads passing through the plantation, even though this itself was illegal. It is reported that when staff from the Tiger Reserve tried to remove one of the chain gates and stop the illegal felling they were subsequently assaulted by BBTC staff.23

In 1995, the Tamil Nadu Government and the Project Tiger Reserve finally brought legal proceedings against BBTC, but by then thousands of acres of forest had already been lost. After initial success, BBFC then brought a stay order.24

“The Ghats’ prime forests are being sacrificed to make a quick killing.” - India Today, 15th August 1995.
The following examples are the tip of the iceberg. Given the space, this report could be filled with cases of important tiger habitat under threat in India.

**Jamva Ramgarh Sanctuary, Rajasthan**
At least 40 marble mines operating in the Sanctuary. The leases for most were granted after the Sanctuary was declared in 1982.

**Sariska Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan**
Forests of Aravalli Hills, of which Sariska is a final remnant, act as a barrier against further desertification from west, thereby protecting Indo-Gangetic plains. Unprecedented deforestation since Independence has halved the length of the monsoon. Dolomite and marble mines operated in Reserve for many years, causing severe degradation. Government only clamped down on mines following judgement in Supreme Court ordering mining activity to stop.

**Valmiki Tiger Reserve, Bihar**
Railway embankment built without environmental clearance caused river to block during floods. Large area of Reserve, including an estimated 5,300 trees lost as a result.

**Palamau Tiger Reserve, Bihar**
Dam set to flood 1 km² of Reserve’s core area. In addition, the Hurilong mining project in the same district has led to the loss of 165 ha of tiger and leopard habitat.

**Laokhowa Sanctuary, Assam**
Entire land area given off to illegal settlers and Sanctuary seems to have “virtually vanished.”

**Shoolpaneshwar Sanctuary, Gujarat**
Cutting down of bamboo for pulp mills.

**Nal Sarovar Sanctuary, Gujarat**
Bauxite mining in Reserve.

**Narayan Sarovar Sanctuary, Gujarat**
The State Legislature despatched 42% of the Sanctuary in July 1995 by State resolution to develop mining and the manufacture of cement. Case subsequently defeated in High Court.

**Sunderbans Tiger Reserve, West Bengal**
The Sunderbans lies on the border of Bangladesh and West Bengal, India and represents the largest remaining mangrove area in the world and has the largest population of Bengal tigers in the world. By 1991, however, the total area in Bangladesh and India was half of what it was at the turn of the century. In West Bengal, 35,000 ha of mangrove have already been diverted for aquaculture and the diversion continues.

---

On pollution of the Bhadra River: “The results (of tests) showed 57% magnetism in the deposits... it violates the Water Pollution Act but the Water Pollution Board doesn’t seem to be taking much action about it.” - Kaosi Sethna, local environmentalist.

---

![Bhadra Wildlife Sanctuary, Karnataka](image1)

**Bhadra Wildlife Sanctuary, Karnataka**
In the central part of the Western Ghats in Karnataka and one of the most important tiger habitats in India. The iron ore mine on the boundary of the Sanctuary creates run off which spills into the forest and a new lease has recently been approved. New roads are being constructed into the forest to facilitate bamboo removal. Three new dams planned to be built inside Sanctuary. Bhadra River is polluted by the Kudremukh Iron Ore Mining Company Ltd. upstream.

---

**Pench Tiger Reserve, Madhya Pradesh**
Fishing licences given by the Chief Wildlife Warden of Madhya Pradesh to local people to allow them to fish in the core area. This is in violation of the Wildlife Protection Act and in direct opposition to the neighbouring State of Maharashtra which forbids fishing in the Pench National Park which borders the Tiger Reserve.

---

**Panna Tiger Reserve, Madhya Pradesh**
Reserve excellent for sloth bears as well as tigers. Mining for diamonds, limestone, sandstone, and granite on the immediate periphery of the Park, and encroachment inside. Dumping of mining garbage widespread. Rivers have turned brown with sediment. 400-600 mines in the region. None complying with most basic regulations of the Mining Act.

---

**Bamboo cut inside Bhadra Wildlife Sanctuary, prime tiger habitat. The bamboo is used commercially in construction and by the local paper mill.**

---

**Some of the last important tiger habitat is being destroyed by industry.**

---

**Env v Economics**

---

**Bhadra River polluted by the Kudremukh Iron Ore Company Ltd flows through villages and the Bhadra Wildlife Sanctuary. Picture shows clean water flowing into the polluted river.**

---

**Bamboo mining near Panna Tiger Reserve.**

---

**Bamboo cut inside Bhadra Wildlife Sanctuary.**
The front line - protecting wildlife in the field

No matter how much rhetoric flies around the world concerning tiger conservation, the day to day job of protecting the remaining wild tigers, as well as rhinos, elephants and their habitat, falls to the field staff. If these people are given political backing and are well resourced, they can maintain a high morale and miracles can be achieved.

This section looks at tiger habitats with particular reference to a World Heritage Site and a Biosphere Reserve in the State of Assam where the protection of wildlife rests solely on the commitment, bravery and resilience of the field staff. In both cases, the Government of India and the former State Government of Assam should be thoroughly ashamed of themselves. Staff have been murdered, their wages have been delayed, working elephants have been starved and officers have had to pay for supplies out of their own meagre salaries. Centrally sponsored funds have been neglected and committed staff have been subjected to conditions that would replace by personnel with no wildlife training whatsoever.

The Ranks

The Indian system of wildlife protection involves military style rankings in the forest department. A State’s chief wildlife warden works with directors of protected areas. The director is the head field worker with a divisional forest officer working with him and range officers heading up each of the ranges within the Protected Area. Range officers manage the other ranks including forestry, forest guards, mahouts and boatmen and casual staff. These are the foot soldiers in the war against poachers, encroachment, forest fires and the timber mafia.

India’s Protected Area System

It has long been India’s approach to wildlife conservation to designate certain areas as “Protected Areas” (PA). There are different levels of protection with Wildlife Sanctuaries and National Parks gaining the greatest level of protection. There are 80 National Parks and 441 Sanctuaries with a total PA of 4.5% of the land mass and 19% of the forest cover. There are six Ramsar wetland sites, five World Heritage Sites and eight Biosphere Reserves.

The management and development of PAs, including salaries, is paid for from the “Plan” budget which is provided by both the Central and State Government. Additional “non-plan” budget pays for works in the Park such as road building, boats, and vehicles and is provided by the State Government. In addition to this (or sometimes partly instead of), if the PA is a Tiger Reserve under Project Tiger, additional funds are available. There have been other schemes such as the Rhino Protection Scheme and Project Elephant - both of which supply additional funds.

Forest Department staff

It is a sad fact of wildlife conservation in India today that a wildlife position is often regarded as a “punishment posting”. Forest guards generally lack specialised wildlife training, are offered no incentives and are often poorly equipped. Skilled and motivated staff will often be transferred from wildlife and replaced by personnel with no wildlife training whatsoever.

Tiger Habitat

EIA investigators have visited a range of tiger habitats in Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Assam and Karnataka. The discipline and motivation of staff in the high profile Tiger Reserves of Kanha and Bandhavgarh, although low, was evidently higher than that in less visible National Parks and Sanctuaries visited in Maharashtra (Pench National Park) and Karnataka (Kudremukh National Park and Bhadra Wildlife Sanctuary). The situation in Manas Tiger Reserve and Kaziranga National Park in Assam will be dealt with on pages 26-31.

Pench National Park, Mahanashtra, borders the Pune Forest Reserve in Madhya Pradesh. It is prime tiger habitat and provides a vital extension to its neighbour. EIA investigators found no evidence of any staff motivation or interest during their stay. On one occasion a forest guard even asked why they wanted to enter the Park because “there is nothing in there”. They saw a wide variety of wildlife including nilgai, gaur and chital. They also saw hundreds of head of cattle openly grazing in the forest within Park boundaries and fish poachers openly selling their catch at the side of the road in Totladoh. The fishermen were cleaning and repairing their nets in the water below the Totladoh Dam Police Camp.

Bandhavgarh Tiger Reserve is one of India’s showpiece Parks and one would expect to find fewer problems in morale and management. However, the problems encountered were even worse. The morale of staff was relatively low with no variation in skill, aptitude and commitment of staff. In some areas it is staggering how staff continue to risk their lives and work all hours to protect wildlife despite repeated abuse by their political masters. In others it is equally staggering that untrained and unmotivated staff, with no commitment to protecting wildlife, are ever posted to the wildlife division in the first place.
Case history: Kaziranga National Park

Criminal neglect and political apathy have brought this remarkable area close to collapse. Kaziranga acts as a reminder to everyone how important it is to have good and committed staff. In the case of Kaziranga, the staff are extraordinary in that they maintain discipline, good humour and high morale despite every attempt by the former State Government to utterly demoralise them and the failure of the Central Government to act. Many financial aspects of protecting the Park have been carried by the goodwill of local suppliers, but the debts have grown so high that they can carry them no longer. Local suppliers are owed US$4,280 for petrol and diesel and US$4,280 for vehicle repairs. The Park staff have been left with an impossible task and it seems unlikely they can go on much longer.

In EIA’s brief visit only some of the most glaring examples of Kaziranga’s threats came to light and are included in this report. For years there have been recommendations for extensions, changes in boundaries to take account of ecological change, and recommendations for extensions, changes in boundaries to take account of ecological change, and the failure of the Central Government to act. Many financial aspects of protecting the Park have been carried by the goodwill of local suppliers, but the debts have grown so high that they can carry them no longer. Local suppliers are owed US$4,280 for petrol and diesel and US$4,280 for vehicle repairs. The Park staff have been left with an impossible task and it seems unlikely they can go on much longer.

In EIA’s brief visit only some of the most glaring examples of Kaziranga’s threats came to light and are included in this report. For years there have been recommendations for extensions, changes in boundaries to take account of ecological change, and wildlife corridors, but little has been achieved. Many other problems exist and have been reported on after more extensive visits have been made.

One of the world’s gems, this 430 km² National Park has been declared a Biosphere Reserve because of its remarkable and unique fauna. Open elephant grass plains interspersed with swamps and semi-evergreen forest are home to over 75% of the world’s one-horned rhinoceros, over 70 tiger, 1,100 elephant, a third of the world’s buffalo, and half the world’s swamp deer.10

The Park has 41 working elephants including the young. Twenty five of these are used for patrolling, moving supplies to the forest camps, and of these 6-8 take tourist out in the winter tourist season.10

The Park has 56 very old jeeps but little fuel to keep them going, two trucks (only one working) and a tractor which helps supply rations to the staff in the winter. A new speedboat donated by the British charity “Care for the Wild” is used extensively but the staff are remote and have no radio. This makes quick response impossible and increases the risks to staff. If a shot is fired it is hoped that two or three camps will be able to inform headquarters. This makes quick response impossible and increases the risks to staff.

The Park only has 53 wireless sets and communication is therefore difficult between camps and headquarters. If a shot is fired it is hoped that two or three camps will be able to inform headquarters. This makes quick response impossible and increases the risks to staff. If a shot is fired it is hoped that two or three camps will be able to inform headquarters. This makes quick response impossible and increases the risks to staff.

The protection of Kaziranga includes a neighbouring range and a number of proposed extensions increasing the area to almost 1,000 km². Many animals migrate out of the Park in the monsoon, when much of the Park is completely submerged, to the higher ground in the Micir Hills, a Reserve Forest area. The total Park workforce is 459 people with an additional 75 home guards. The director and divisional forest officer have four range officers managing the ranges, three of which form the National Park. Staff also have to tour the neighbouring villages, giving them a working area of around 1,800 to 2,000 km².14

The Park has 41 working elephants including the young. Twenty five of these are used for patrolling, moving supplies to the forest camps, and of these 6-8 take tourist out in the winter tourist season.10

The Park has 6 very old jeeps but little fuel to keep them going, two trucks (only one working) and a tractor which helps supply rations to the staff in the winter. A new speedboat donated by the British charity “Care for the Wild” is used extensively but three other motorised boats are too expensive to use except in emergencies. Only 80-90, out of 130 forest camps, have a simple paddle boat to patrol during the summer monsoon floods.

STAFF: NO PAY, NO SUPPORT

The staff, elephants and infrastructure

The Park only has 53 wireless sets and communication is therefore difficult between camps and headquarters. If a shot is fired it is hoped that two or three camps will be able to inform headquarters. This makes quick response impossible and increases the risks to staff.

The staff, elephants and infrastructure

The Park only has 53 wireless sets and communication is therefore difficult between camps and headquarters. If a shot is fired it is hoped that two or three camps will be able to inform headquarters. This makes quick response impossible and increases the risks to staff.

The Park only has 53 wireless sets and communication is therefore difficult between camps and headquarters. If a shot is fired it is hoped that two or three camps will be able to inform headquarters. This makes quick response impossible and increases the risks to staff.

The Park only has 53 wireless sets and communication is therefore difficult between camps and headquarters. If a shot is fired it is hoped that two or three camps will be able to inform headquarters. This makes quick response impossible and increases the risks to staff.
The poaching crisis

In Kaziranga the poaching menace is aimed at the one-horned rhino. Tigers and other wildlife have so far been left alone because of the high value of rhino horn and the serious risks involved in entering the Park - the anti-poaching work is still effective. If the morale of staff continues to be undermined by the financial crisis, protection of the tigers, elephants and deer will also cease.

The preventative techniques that are available to the staff, such as intelligence gathering and community relations, have been severely hindered by lack of funds. There used to be a US$430 annual budget for intelligence gathering, but this no longer exists. There are two types of rhino poaching - the pit and gun methods. In the former, a gang of 2-5 poachers will enter the Park and stay for 7-10 days. They dig deep pits on tracks regularly used by rhinos, sometimes with stakes in the bottom of the pit. Rhinos usually die quickly because they break their necks when falling into the pit. It is difficult to catch these poachers because they move silently and it is almost impossible to patrol the rhino tracks on elephant back because elephants fall into the same camouflaged pits.

Poachers armed with guns are usually in gangs of 2-5. The larger gangs will include two shooters, 2 assistants and a local field person (who is a local who knows the area or a former employee of the Park). They stay in the Park for 3-4 days, entering at night and sleeping over. There is no pattern as to the time they kill. The shots will be heard by forest staff and they have regular shoot-outs with poaching gangs, resulting in fatalities. As a poaching encounter on 27th May 1996 a poacher overheard forest guards radioing base for more ammunition because they only had 5 rounds each.

The most recent poaching encounters at the time of the EIA’s visit had been on June 21st and June 26th 1996. A rifle and a US carbine were seized and four poachers were killed.

Table: Rhino poaching statistics (by technique) for Kaziranga Western Range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Gun</th>
<th>Pit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHERE HAS ALL THE MONEY GONE?

Kaziranga National Park has been starved of funds for a number of years. The budget, until the 1996/97 allocation, remained relatively unchanged despite spiralling costs and increased poaching in the 1990s. The Park was eligible for additional funds from the rhino protection scheme paid for by the Central Government. The last payments actually received in the Park were in 1991. It is alleged that almost US$1 million from this and other centrally sponsored schemes has been diverted from wildlife protection by the former State Government of Assam to other unrelated areas since 1989. Since the recent elections the Finance Secretary of the previous Government has gone missing. The Minister of Forests for the new State Government sadly admitted that the former administration had diverted these funds. He pledged that any further centrally sponsored funds for wildlife protection would reach the Park.

Table: Budget for Kaziranga National Park

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Plan (development/management excl. salaries)</th>
<th>Non-Plan (works/intensification etc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993/94</td>
<td>US$143,000</td>
<td>US$51,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994/95</td>
<td>US$137,000</td>
<td>US$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995/96</td>
<td>US$148,500</td>
<td>US$51,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996/97</td>
<td>US$91,400</td>
<td>US$51,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STARVING ELEPHANTS

The working elephants carry supplies, patrol the Park, and are the only means of transportation in most areas. They require 10kg of grain flour or rice with huk every day. Resting elephants require half this amount. Unlike wild elephants, these working animals cannot access all day and need extra nutrition to help them gain strength for the work. The Kaziranga working elephants, at the time of the EIA’s visit, had not been fed for six months and were visibly suffering from malnutrition and some of them had suspected tuberculosis. The elephants used to be led into a particular area and buckets would be brought out with the food and they would get really excited. Now they’re just taken into the same place and they look around, waiting. This pathetic situation was described by a number of staff as “criminal - like starving your own children.” The local supply of feed is already used over US$17,000 and simply could not carry on increasing the debt.

Table: Budget for Kaziranga Western Range 1995/96

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>US$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995/96</td>
<td>US$91,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This figure is budget for the first quarter although only US$7,700 actually received.

70% of the world’s one-horned rhinos are found in Kaziranga.

“Elephants are staff - so just as the staff should get pay, the elephants get rations, so we are failing to even supply this now. This is a crime.”

- Bupen Talukdar, Central Range Officer, Kaziranga National Park.
In a 1996 report on Manas it is claimed that from an estimated population of 100+ rhinos, only about a dozen exist today and the endangered swamp deer population which had built up to about 500 animals, has been virtually wiped out.

Case history: Manas Tiger Reserve

This was one of the first Tiger Reserves created under Project Tiger in 1973 and was declared a World Heritage Site in 1985. It was once remarked that Manas “is what the earth looked like before the arrival of man, a jewel encrusted on land reflecting nature’s varied and brilliant hues.” It rests intertwined with the Manas River and its branches under the foothills of the Himalayan mountain kingdom of Bhutan. It is clear that Manas has suffered from serious problems of insurgency by Bodo militants seeking independence. Attacks on the Tiger Reserve have destroyed infrastructure, undermined staff morale, and wiped out much of the famed wildlife. What is less clear, is why there has been no attempt by the Central or State Governments to regain control of the Reserve. The solutions to this unique area are complicated and involve courage and leadership to bring law and order to the Reserve. With a complete absence of political will for so many years and diversion of funds from vital work, the Government of India and Project Tiger have failed this World Heritage Site completely. Meanwhile, staff and wildlife continue to die.

In a report on the Reserve, the former Additional Inspector General of Forests, Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (Assam) and former Field Director of Manas Tiger Reserve, S. Deb Roy, wrote "Since 1992, I have been raising my voice about the problems in Manas repeatedly writing any opportunity that came by at any forum, but there has been no response, whatsoever from anywhere. It seems to be a good indicator about how serious are the Ministry of Environment and Forests about such squandering of a World Heritage Site."

Wildlife destroyed

Most experts on the area agree that the current poaching is carried out by criminals, not insurgents. In fact the insurgent group’s command have recently ordered their people to protect Manas, not destroy it. Nonetheless, the poaching is carried out by large gangs of armed local people. The forest staff have very low morale and feel threatened and unable to act.

There have been few opportunities for accurate wildlife surveys to be carried out in this Reserve. Although the field director and staff know of only a few cases of tiger poisoning and snaring, conversations with mahouts indicate a sharp decline. Some of these men have been working in Manas for years and state that they no longer see signs of tigers when patrolling.

In 1996 report on Manas it is claimed that from an estimated population of 100+ rhinos, only about a dozen exist today and the endangered swamp deer population, which had built up to about 500 animals, has been virtually wiped out. Elephants are poached and at least 15-20 may have been killed. It is also stated that since the southern area of the Park (more than half the area of the National Park) has been “freely vandalised by various groups of people including the neighbouring villagers, serious damage to the status of all wild animal species could be a sure outcome.”

INFRASTRUCTURE UN-REPAIRED

The armed insurgency, deliberate destruction of bridges, forest camps and murder of Park staff is well documented. The troubles between 1989 and 1991 have left the Park with little working infrastructure. However, many years have passed and no positive action has been taken to give the field staff any support or real protection other than the posting of some Armed Forest Battalion staff. Even these men do not dare to take on the poachers.

The network of roads and patrol paths are impassable. Only one road remains open running north to Mauhatung. None of the others have been repaired and patrol paths have grown over. Consequently, the only anti-poaching patrols carried out are on elephants in the summer monsoon and by foot patrol in the dry season. There are only 22 operational forest camps in the 2,837 km2 Park with a core area of 478 km2.

During EIA’s visit the peace of Manas was disturbed by the sound of blasting. The Bhutanese authorities are building a road through the National Park in Bhutan which has been widely criticised. It is feared that this road will open up the Bhutanese side for poachers providing them with easier access to Manas Tiger Reserve.

Starved of funds

Unlike Kaziranga National Park, the same failures of Central and State Government to financially support Manas have already demoralised staff.

In the 1995/96 financial year the budget for Project Tiger was set at US$27,850. half to be paid by the Central Government and half by the State Government. This figure includes US$157,150 for salaries. The actual fund the Tiger Reserve was to receive was only US$185,700 (US$87,150 below budget) of which only US$145,714 has been received to date. This amount does not even cover the salaries. Additionally, in the 1995/96 budget, of US$114,300 “non-plan” funds earmarked for capital costs and additional salaries for protection of rhinos, US$46,600 has been diverted by the former State Government away from wildlife protection. Under such circumstances, it is impossible for the field director to maintain control of the Tiger Reserve.

Lost control

There are many signs throughout the Park that poachers move around with impunity and that the Park staff have lost their authority.

In April 1996, S. Deb Roy, former field director of Manas Tiger Reserve, travelled to a few parts of the Park. At an artificial water-hole he reported finding the front leg-bone of a rhino and some old buffalo hooves. He also found a rotting sambhar hide. When visiting the only interior camp possible for him to reach, he saw little sign of modern poachers’ tracks. The staff at the camp admitted that poaching was rampant in the area and that they hardly ever responded when they heard shots - they were too afraid. During EIA’s visit to the Tiger Reserve, a member of staff explained that there were gangs of 20 armed poachers moving through the Park.

EIA investigators walked along the southern boundary to the west of the main gate. Within one kilometre of the Forest Department’s Hathok camp villagers were crossing into the core area of the Reserve to collect masses of fire wood. Stacks of wood were piled high in the Reserve and dozens of villagers were floating it across the flooded river. Herds of cattle were also grazing alongside Forest Department elephants in the Reserve.

In the village and all along the banks of the river timber was stacked high. Part of the edge of the Tiger Reserve had been cleared completely.

Staring at the camp admitted that poaching was rampant in the area and that they hardly ever responded when they heard shots - they were too afraid.22
The Project focuses too much on human
The Project spends too much money on foreign
The Project injects too much money too quickly
The Project diverts protected area staff away from
The Project diverts funds earmarked for

Local communities &
ecodevelopment

India faces massive problems with its growing human population which is rapidly running out of land. A conflict has developed between local communities and protected areas which has often been stirred and encouraged by ruthless politicians and business people seeking their own exploitation of the rich forest resources. Local tribal people moved out of core areas of Tiger Reserves, National Parks and Sanctuaries have often been promised good alternative land and support. These promises have too often been broken. Indian conservationists have recognised the importance of gaining support for the protected areas from the local communities. If antagonised, some local people become the poachers or labour for the timber Mafia. When no respect exists between these people and the Forest Department the protected area is threatened by collection of fuel-wood, bamboo, timber and other forest produce. A survey of protected areas in the late 1980s revealed that 69% of surveyed areas had people living inside them and, in 64% of them, community rights, leases or concessions existed.

There is an urban romantic notion of forest dwelling tribal people surviving off the forest and continuing their lives in complete harmony with nature. Some would say that the forest dwelling people of India are the best guardians of the tiger. Such sentiments should be cautiously guarded because there are few areas of India today where these communities are untouched by modern influences. Many tribal people prefer to move out of their forest into developed areas to pursue the trappings of modern consumer life. Those remaining like to be consulted on their future.

Ecodevelopment is defined in many different ways by different Governments and funding organisations.

Fuelwood collection is illegal in protected areas although it still goes on. Some ecodevelopment schemes attempt to provide alternative sources of fuel to relieve the pressure.

This has led to conflict between conservationists and some “ecodevelopment” schemes with accusations that the term is being used to set up economic development with no real benefit to biodiversity.

In recent years there have been attempts by the Government of India and some NGOs to build ecodevelopment schemes providing the local people with basic amenities and local work. The Eighty Five Year Plan in 1991/92 budgeted almost US$3 million for a scheme called “Ecodevelopment around National Parks and Sanctuaries.” Some NGOs have been involved in local schemes providing health care, alternative facilities for livestock and family planning. Building successful schemes involves understanding the needs of the local community, the protected area and its staff and creating respect and communication between them. One of the few NGOs that has attempted this is the Ranthambhore Foundation, with a series of people-related integrated activities around Ranthambhore National Park. Unfortunately, the State and the Central Government have seldom bothered to involve in protected areas. Many other NGOs support it and the World Bank defends the project and believes much of the criticism is due to NGOs not fully appreciating the process or reading the latest documents. The micro-plan for the seven project areas will develop as the project gets underway.

Some fundamental objections are:

- The project focuses too much on human development with too little emphasis on the promotion of biodiversity.
- The project risks building up development areas on the border of protected area thereby attracting more people to these sensitive zones and threatening the protected area further.
- The project limits the involvement in protected areas.
- The project injects too much money too quickly into areas of extreme poverty, giving no time for growth of ideas and local participation in evolving the scheme to suit local needs.
- The likelihood of political corruption.
- The project spends too much money on foreign travel and consultancies.
- The project diverts protected area staff away from vital protective work.
- The rest of the project budget of US$15 million has to be found by the Government of India (US$6.6 million), State Governments (US$8 million) and the project beneficiaries - the poor local communities (US$4.4 million).
- The local people will largely pay their contribution through labour and supply of materials and much of the Government’s contribution will come from salaries already covered under Forest Department budgets.
- The project’s budget reveals that pre-operational expenditure (reports, visits, etc.) consultancies and supervisory travel and foreign exchange certificates swallow up US$3 million more than the GEF grant. In addition to this there are further consultants and budget travel lines hidden in other parts of the budget.
- According to the World Bank, much of this money will go to local NGOs for monitoring and assessing progress to build the micro-plan - arguably a vital safety net.
Enforcement of wildlife law

When the fruits of enforcement officers’ exhaustive enquiries are abandoned by the courts they become understandably de-moralised. Too often, poachers and dealers have been released on bail in cases that rarely come to court. When the culprits re-offend they are released again. It must seem to the few committed officers in the Police, the Forest Department, the Directorate of Revenue Intelligence, the Border Security Force and any other Government agency charged with enforcing law, that their activities are futile.

Although the situation is desperate in most parts of India, there are instances where local political will has backed the officers and real progress has been made.

Tiger Cells

After undercover activities by an Ngo revealed widespread poaching and dealer networks in Madhya Pradesh, a co-ordinated body called the Tiger Cell was convened. Under the leadership of a senior policeman, the joint operations of the Police and Forest Department of Madhya Pradesh succeeded in seizing large quantities of wildlife, including two tiger and leopard skins from one region.

Between April 1995 and June 1996 the Tiger Cell arrested nearly 150 people and seized 73 live animals or parts and 15 tiger skins or parts. Other wildlife products such as deer skins and antlers were also seized. Despite this ongoing success it is reported that when the head of the cell was promoted and two other heads came and went in six months, the cell was threatened. This followed the weakening of political support.

Weaknesses in the system

The question of effective enforcement is riddled with grosser blemishes in the Indian bureaucracy. Liaison between relevant departments (e.g. police and forest) is not always easy. At the top, the relevant ministers of the Government of India have only just started to consider wildlife crime and there has been no concrete action so far.

At a basic level, knowledge of the law, mainly the Wildlife (Protection) Act, is lacking in the police and the forest department. There is little or no training for officers and no legal support to help bring cases to court successfully. In the report of the committee appointed by the High Court of Delhi in February 1996 it was noted that “It has been repeatedly observed that complaints filed under section 53 of the Wildlife Protection Act, 1972, forms the backbone on which the entire edifice of the prosecution stands is drafted by the junior-most officers of the Department. This results in poorly prepared and drafted complaints, lacking in innumerable specific mandatory requirements.”

Tourism

Indian tourism in protected areas has largely been low cost tourism by India’s own top earning classes. It has relied upon cheap accommodation, outside companies running the lodges, and little concern for, or interest in, the local people. Gate fees are so low that virtually no revenue from tourism has been collected. There are plenty of problems: the spectacle of wealthy tourists kicking up dust at local people as their 4x4s roar past to the Reserve, can only create resentment among local communities when they receive no benefit from this invasion.

In all but two States (Madhya Pradesh and Assam) revenue from gate fees - entrance to the Park - are not invested in the protected area but go straight to the State treasury. However, there is good reason to believe that tourism could supply much needed revenue to the Reserves if all States allowed revenue to go straight back into the protected areas and if gate fees were considerably increased - especially for foreign tourists. None of this would require an increase in visitors. Foreign tourists are prepared to pay high fees in many African countries such as Tanzania, Botswana and Kenya. In fact, if the benefit that foreign tourist money brings are explained to the tourists, many will do even deeper into their pockets.

Foreign tourism is minimal, except in certain of the main Parks such as Kanha, Corbett, and Ranthambhore. Nonetheless, even low volumes of people can provide substantial income for development of the Park.

The future

Global tourism is now the world’s largest single industry, employing more people than any other. Indian conservationists tremble at the thought of an invasion and the Indian approach to conservation has virtually no revenue from tourism but goes straight to the Reserve. In all but two States (Madhya Pradesh and Assam) revenue from gate fees - entrance to the Park - are not invested in the protected area but go straight to the State treasury. However, there is good reason to believe that tourism could supply much needed revenue to the Reserves if all States allowed revenue to go straight back into the protected areas and if gate fees were considerably increased - especially for foreign tourists. None of this would require an increase in visitors. Foreign tourists are prepared to pay high fees in many African countries such as Tanzania, Botswana and Kenya. In fact, if the benefit that foreign tourist money brings are explained to the tourists, many will do even deeper into their pockets.

Foreign tourism is minimal, except in certain of the main Parks such as Kanha, Corbett, and Ranthambhore. Nonetheless, even low volumes of people can provide substantial income for development of the Park.
Conclusions

The tiger is under serious threat of extinction in the wild within the next few years.

The tiger’s fate is echoed by the threat to India’s forests, and all the fauna and flora living in them.

The Government of India has failed to deal with this threat over the last few years, even when the evidence of poaching, amounting to at least one tiger poached every day, was revealed. The Prime Minister’s office has failed to provide leadership and direction and the Indian Board for Wildlife, chaired by the Prime Minister, has not met for 3 years. Project Tiger has been unmoving to recognise the problems and has even been implicated in sweeping them under the carpet. At the time of going to press Project Tiger is without a director.

State Governments have largely failed to respond to the tiger crisis. In some cases they have diverted funds earmarked for conservation projects and in other cases, they have delayed supplying funds for protected areas. Tiger Reserves and other protected areas have been eroded by State resolutions and industrial encroachment has been widely allowed to occur.

The tiger and its habitat is threatened by poaching for bones and skin by industrial development, hostility from local communities and the activities of the timber mafia. The Ministry of Environment and Forests is sanctioning industrial development on the edges of, and sometimes inside, protected areas.

Wildlife trade in India is out of control, with elephant ivory, rhino horn and leopards skins readily available. The enforcement authorities, apart from a tiny minority, are completely failing to enforce the Wildlife Protection Act, the Environment Protection Act and the Forest Conservation Act.

The highly endangered Bengali tiger is being driven towards extinction by India’s illegal consumption of the species. “Shahtoosh”, the wool from the Tibetan Wild Sheep, is linked to the illegal trade in tigers.

State Governments have largely failed to respond to the tiger crisis. In some cases they have diverted funds earmarked for conservation projects and in other cases, they have delayed supplying funds for protected areas. Tiger Reserves and other protected areas have been eroded by State resolutions and industrial encroachment has been widely allowed to occur. Tiger and its habitat is threatened by poaching for bones and skin by industrial development, hostility from local communities and the activities of the timber mafia. The Ministry of Environment and Forests is sanctioning industrial development on the edges of, and sometimes inside, protected areas.

Wildlife trade in India is out of control, with elephant ivory, rhino horn and leopards skins readily available. The enforcement authorities, apart from a tiny minority, are completely failing to enforce the Wildlife Protection Act, the Environment Protection Act and the Forest Conservation Act.

The highly endangered Bengal Tiger is being driven towards extinction by India’s illegal consumption of the species. “Shahtoosh”, the wool from the Tibetan Wild Sheep, is linked to the illegal trade in tigers.