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Executive summary

Key findings in this report:

• During 2019, the 10 major supermarkets in the UK put 896,853 tonnes of plastic packaging on the market. While this is a reduction of 1.6% over the previous year, it is a 1.2% increase compared to 2017 when the 10 put 886,021 tonnes of plastic packaging on the market.

• Eight of the 10 retailers reduced their plastic packaging footprint from 2018-19, with more than half of the reductions by weight coming from Asda.

• 10 UK supermarkets were ranked in terms of their efforts to reduce plastic pollution. The scorecard shows Waitrose at the top for the second consecutive year, with Iceland in tenth place. Of the five largest UK supermarkets by market share, Aldi ranked first, followed by Sainsbury’s, Tesco, Asda and Morrisons.

• In 2019, 2.1 billion plastic carrier bags and ‘bags for life’ were issued by the top 10 supermarkets, an 8% decrease on the number issued in 2018.

• The number of plastic ‘bags for life’ (the heavier duty reusable option to the thinner single-use carry bags) issued by the supermarkets increased from 2018-19. More than 1.58 billion were issued in 2019, a 4.5% increase compared to 2018. This represents almost 57 bags per UK household during the year.

• The number of single-use plastic carrier bags issued is decreasing year on year (by 33% from 2018-19), with several supermarkets banning them altogether.

• 2.5 billion plastic water bottles were sold or given away in UK supermarkets in 2019.

• Supermarket targets and reduction efforts are primarily focused on own-brand plastic packaging, with the result that the amount of packaging used for branded goods is not reducing.

• Sainsbury’s and Aldi have market-leading targets to cut plastic packaging from brand and own-brand goods by 50% by 2025. EIA and Greenpeace are calling on other supermarkets to follow suit and also define the ‘how’ of plastic reduction by ensuring their strategies include targets for the proportion of reduction that will be achieved by switching to reuse systems. We recommend that as part of reduction efforts to at least halve plastic packaging, 25% of packaging should be reusable by 2025, with 50% reusable by 2030.

• Trials in reuse systems are picking up pace, but these need to be rolled out nationally to have impact. Good examples are Waitrose with its Unpacked store trials and Asda testing the landscape for price parity on value products in its Middleton store before a potential wider roll-out.

• Growth in online shopping should be seen as a major opportunity for reuse systems, for example by doorstep delivery of reusable containers which can be picked up, washed and refilled before being sent out again. This business case can be seen in the work by Tesco and its partnership with Loop.

• Transparency and accuracy supported by Government-mandated reporting for companies is urgently needed for retailers and brands. There are ongoing challenges with consistency in data, particularly the data coming from brands.

• While recognising the frontline role supermarkets are playing during the coronavirus pandemic, the sector must seize all opportunities to build back better and invest in sustainability and zero waste systems.

Environmental Investigation Agency and Greenpeace

Above: Plastic pollution is present on land and in oceans around the world, with coastal communities often bearing the brunt of clean-up efforts

Above: Up to 13 million tonnes of plastic enters our oceans every year, harming marine wildlife, habitats and biodiversity
Introduction

For the third year, the Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) and Greenpeace UK have surveyed the major supermarkets and grocery retailers in the UK on their efforts to reduce plastic pollution.

In 2018, our survey established a baseline of commitments made by the sector and the 2017 state-of-play for plastic use in stores and supply chains based on the data provided by the retailers. In 2019, we measured progress made and found that, despite the stated aims of many companies, the total volume of plastic packaging had gone up in 2018, not down.

This third report analyses 2019 plastic use across the sector, with a view to understanding whether the companies were able to change course and make a tangible difference in reducing their plastic footprint.

Below: A typical scene in a UK supermarket with shelves lined with plastic packaging.

Background

Despite the huge public and policy attention to the plastic pollution crisis, business is booming for the plastics industry and the impacts of plastic pollution are evermore apparent.

Up to 13 million tonnes of plastics leak into our oceans annually, severely harming biodiversity, destroying habitats and fostering a global plastic pollution crisis. This crisis is being driven by massive investment in new plastic production facilities and the continued production of single-use and unnecessary plastic packaging and products for a throwaway society.

About 40% of plastic waste generated ends up in the environment and of the 8.3bn tonnes of virgin plastic produced worldwide, only 9% has been recycled. At the same time, the global plastics industry is on track to use 19% of the 1.5°C carbon budget by 2040, fuelling the climate change crisis.

Recent research has shown the UK as one of the major global polluters; an estimated 99kg of plastic waste is generated per person each year. This unsustainable consumption perpetuates waste-dumping in developing countries, unsustainable landfill practices and climate-wrecking plastic waste incineration.

Recent modelling of our plastic system predicted that if we continue on this business-as-usual trajectory, annual flows of plastic into the ocean could nearly triple by 2040. Even if all current major industry and government commitments are met, the world would see a reduction in annual rates of plastic pollution flowing into the ocean of only 7% from the business-as-usual scenario.

Supermarkets play a pivotal role in consumer consumption of plastic, with the influence and buying power to set the pace of change, for example through packaging innovation, improved product design, delivery systems based on reuse models and shorter, more sustainable supply chains.

Supermarkets in the UK have responded to the plastic pollution crisis in a number of ways. All top 10 UK supermarkets have targets to reduce their plastic packaging footprint; however, several are limited to own-brand goods and there are few specific targets for reusable packaging. With bags and food wrappers being among the most common items found polluting the oceans and entangling animals, plastic-free and reusable packaging solutions are urgently required to prevent these problems at source.

The environmental cost and the evidence base for impacts of plastic pollution on human health make it clear that action is urgently needed. There is also a clear business case for transitioning to a circular economy in which material value is recaptured rather than lost. According to the Ellen MacArthur Foundation, replacing just 20% of single-use packaging with reusable alternatives offers an opportunity worth at least $10 billion in areas such as user experiences, cost savings and brand loyalty.
Methodology

In 2020, our survey was sent to the top 10 supermarkets in the UK, in addition to online grocery retailer Ocado and convenience grocery retailers including McColls, Spar and Costcutter.

The survey was based on the same categories as in 2018 and 2019 in order to track progress over this period, with minor refinements to questions to improve quality of information.

The survey contained 37 questions aimed at understanding the performance of retailers according to the following categories for calendar year 2019:

- **Reduction in plastic footprint and use of reusables** - progress made since the last survey to reduce single-use items and packaging and expand packaging-free and reusable ranges, assessed where relevant against targets and milestones reported in the 2018 and 2019 surveys;

- **Forward-looking commitments on reduction and reuse** - level of ambition regarding future reduction and reuse commitments and targets;

- **Recyclability and recycled content** - targets, commitments and progress made on removing non-recyclable plastics and increasing recycled content levels;

- **Supply chain and stakeholder engagement** - engagement with suppliers (including branded suppliers, agricultural and fisheries suppliers and regarding pre-production plastic pellets), interactions with staff and customers about reducing plastic use and public policy positions on Government proposals such as Deposit Return Schemes (DRS), Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) and the plastic tax;

- **Transparency** - provision of full data regarding plastic items and packaging, as well as information on recyclability and recycled content and public policy positions.

The areas were assessed independently of each other, with a range of points available for each question on a -5 to +10 scale based on objective criteria. For each category, the company received a percentage score relative to the maximum number of points available. The maximum points were adjusted according to the type of operation so, for example, a company which does not offer online shopping would have those criteria removed from the maximum number of points available so as not to unfairly impact its score. As in previous years, independent analysts were consulted on the methodology and each supermarket’s individual scoring.

The categories were weighted, placing greater emphasis on progress in areas that will make the biggest difference in eliminating plastic pollution. We were particularly hoping to see companies demonstrating reductions in the quantities of plastic packaging on the shelves, as well as commitments for further reductions and shifting to reuse systems to ensure the long-term sustainable system change required.

Although convenience chains responded, they were not included in the final league table or analysis presented here, given the limited comparable data provided. Likewise, Ocado responded to the survey for the first time although the data provided is not included in the league table due to the lack of previous data to compare its progress against.

For the purposes of ensuring the most accurate interpretation of responses from the companies, we encouraged respondents to be as transparent as possible to ask questions and attend meetings to clarify data, including providing justifications and explanations of responses. The supermarkets were evaluated based on the data provided to us as part of the survey or previous surveys and via follow-up clarifications. There are a number of difficulties inherent in comparing different retailers with different market shares and representation, formats and pricing. EIA and Greenpeace recognise the potential bias in sales-based value data, given that discounters are likely to sell more items (and therefore packaging) than other companies per percent market share. On the other hand, in looking at progress over the last three years, some supermarkets are increasing market share while others are decreasing market share, and this has an impact on their plastic use. Therefore some data points were considered in the context of market share of each company, based on data provided by Nielsen Retail Measurement Services (see Table 1).1

### Table 1: Market share of 10 UK supermarkets. Source: Nielsen Homescan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retailer</th>
<th>Market Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldi</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asda</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-op</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidl</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;S</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrisons</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sainsbury’s</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tesco</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitrose</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>95.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Above: A common sight in UK supermarkets: row after row of fruit and vegetables wrapped in single-use plastic packaging.
Summary of results

For the second consecutive year, Waitrose is at the top of the league table.

Waitrose was able to achieve a reduction in plastic use across both its own-brand and branded ranges, supplemented by robust engagement with its branded suppliers. Waitrose reported an absolute plastic reduction of 6.1% since 2017 and has the lowest plastic use per unit market share of all 10 retailers. Its efforts to reduce plastic are coupled with a commitment to expand reuse and unpackaged ranges and concerted efforts to remove single-use plastic products from its stores and cafes.

At the bottom this year is Iceland, despite achieving an absolute own-brand plastics reduction of 29% since 2017. Increases in plastic from branded goods offset a substantial amount of this progress, reducing its overall reduction achievement to 2.7% or 3% on a market share basis. In 2019, Iceland also had the highest plastic use per unit of market share of all 10 retailers. Iceland also reported an additional 73 million ‘bags for life’ sold in 2019 compared to 2018, which far exceeded the decrease in sales of single-use carrier bags of 5.6 million. Areas such as the proportion of fruit and vegetables sold loose (2.7%) as well as low scores in transparency and commitments on reuse and refill also played a role in this ranking.

The biggest mover from the previous survey is Aldi, which went from bottom to second place this year. Aldi demonstrated a 2.4% plastic reduction per unit of market share between 2018 and 2019. Other areas where Aldi scored well included its elimination of single-use carrier bags and reductions in ultra-light produce bags and ‘bags for life’. These reductions sit alongside an increased level of ambition for the future with a pledge to cut plastic use in half by 2025 and a high degree of transparency in the information they provided.

Other climbers this year included Lidl, which achieved an absolute own-brand plastic reduction of 2.6% of plastic across the business since 2017, despite company growth, and a corresponding reduction of 15.6% per unit of market share.

Morrisons moved significantly in the other direction, from second place to ninth this year. Its plastic use increased since 2017 both in absolute terms and per market share. Significant increases in plastic bags since 2018 also contributed to its lower placing this year. Despite this, the retailer scored well in increases and commitments in reuse, so we would expect this position to shift as those changes take effect in coming years.
Targets

The analysis applied objective criteria to assess progress and tried to strike a balance between rewarding progress relative to targets, as well as ensuring targets were ambitious.

The survey included questions on overall packaging in addition to plastic packaging, given concerns that plastic reduction might be solely achieved by material substitution, for example by switching out plastic for paper, wood and other materials which also have negative environmental implications. We are urging companies to prioritise packaging-free solutions wherever possible and scale-up systems for reuse and refill to avoid single-use packaging altogether.

The survey asked companies to specify their reduction target and the timeframe for achieving it, as well as the baseline they are using as their metric. Additionally, companies were asked for information on how they are measuring their progress, for example in units or in weight, and whether the target is relative or absolute.

In terms of all packaging materials, six supermarkets have set targets, the most ambitious being a reduction of 50% by 2025 (Aldi, Sainsbury’s, Tesco and Waitrose, with baselines ranging from 2007-17). Asda, Co-op, M&S, Morrisons and Ocado are yet to set overall packaging targets while Lidl and Iceland are targeting lower reductions, reported at 25% by 2025 and 10% by 2023 respectively.

The plastic packaging footprint

This year’s survey revealed that, during 2019, the 10 major supermarkets put 896,853 tonnes of plastic packaging on the market. This year’s survey revealed that, during 2019, the 10 major supermarkets put 896,853 tonnes of plastic packaging on the market. This is a 1.6% reduction over the previous year, but still a 1.2% increase over the three-year period of the survey.

According to data provided, the 10 supermarkets put 886,021 tonnes of plastic packaging on the market in 2017 and 911,468 tonnes in 2018. Eight of the 10 reduced their plastic footprint from 2018-19, with more than half of the reductions in absolute terms coming from Asda.

A higher and more consistent trajectory across the board would be expected if plastic reduction efforts were sufficient and having the necessary impact.

Table 2: Plastic reduction targets for top UK supermarkets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retailer</th>
<th>Plastic reduction target</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aldi</td>
<td>50% (own-brand)</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Relative, by weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30% (brand)</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Absolute, by weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asda</td>
<td>15% (own-brand)</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Relative, by weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 billion pieces</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-op</td>
<td>6% (own-brand)</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Relative, by weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(baseline 2018)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>100% (own-brand)</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Absolute, by weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(baseline 2018)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidl</td>
<td>50% (own-brand)</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Relative, by weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(baseline 2017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;S</td>
<td>4% reduction (across primary packaging)</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Absolute, unit and weight measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2018 baseline ongoing annual commitment to remove 25m units in 2023)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrisons</td>
<td>50% (own-brand)</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Relative, by weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2017 baseline)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sainsbury’s</td>
<td>35% of primary and own-brand packaging</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Absolute, unit and weight measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2018 baseline)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tesco</td>
<td>1 billion pieces and all unnecessary plastic packaging</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Absolute, unit and weight based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitrose</td>
<td>25% (own-brand)</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Absolute, by weight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This year’s survey revealed that, during 2019, the 10 major supermarkets put 896,853 tonnes of plastic packaging on the market.
Own-brand versus branded reductions

From the survey responses it is clear that reductions are being driven predominantly through reductions in own-brand plastic packaging.

Indeed, the strategy to address own-brand packaging as a priority is clear looking at the targets set by the companies, which generally lack reduction targets specifically for branded products.

Overall, plastic packaging from own-brand goods reduced by 1.3% from 2017-19. Some retailers are making major progress in own-brand plastic packaging reduction (e.g. Iceland achieved a 29.2% reduction in own-brand plastic packaging from 2017-19). However, this approach has limitations given branded goods can make up 40-60% of a supermarket’s sales (although this is significantly lower in some retailers, e.g. Lidl, M&S and Aldi).

In contrast to own-brand goods, the plastic footprint of branded products across the sector increased by more than 5% from 2017-19. Just two companies reported reductions during the period - Sainsbury’s and Waitrose - while some companies reported fairly substantial percentage increases in those numbers (e.g. Aldi, +79.9%).

Clearly, the primary responsibility to address their plastic footprint lies with the consumer goods companies themselves, although the potential influence of supermarket retailers on these companies should not be understated. More progress is needed in the form of ambitious pledges to delist or, in other words, refuse to stock branded suppliers who fail to meet stringent plastic reduction ambitions.

We urge all the supermarkets to undertake more robust engagement with the major polluting brands, such as Coca-Cola, Nestlé and PepsiCo, to rapidly scale up initiatives in reuse, refill, recycled content, recyclability and overall packaging reduction.

As a recent analysis from Changing Markets Foundation has shown, the major global brands have a proven track record of undermining progressive legislation and failing to meet their own environmental targets. Delisting or otherwise placing specific criteria within sourcing policies and clearance to supply systems is a key tool to drive down the plastic footprint of major brands within the retail sector, an initiative which needs sector-wide buy-in from both retailers and brands.

Examples of the types of pledges that supermarkets are making in terms of their supply chain engagement include creating a list of allowable plastics for packaging, the elimination of certain polymers (such as expanded polystyrene and polystyrene), a minimum requirement on recycled content or a pledge to not launch new products with ‘excessive packaging’ or which is unrecyclable.

As a minimum, 20% reduction in plastic packaging by 2022.

Lidl described its work with suppliers to ensure the pledges are part of contractual terms and conditions and the targets for brands are time-bound and measurable (namely, that 100% of products from branded suppliers are recyclable, reusable or refillable by 2025 and a 20% reduction in plastic packaging by 2022).

Part of the challenge is accessing accurate data from brands on their plastic use. This was highlighted by Iceland, which wrote to 400 suppliers asking them to publish their total plastic packaging transparently, including both own-label and branded products. This underscores the need for transparency in order to appropriately manage plastic in the supply chain. In addition to supply chain engagement, Government-mandated reporting on plastic packaging is essential to underpin these efforts.

Figure 4: Global plastic footprint of the top polluting companies in 2020

Table 3: Overview of responses to question on pledges to delist branded products contained in excessive or non-recyclable packaging. Asda, Co-op, Iceland, M&S, Ocado and Sainsbury’s have not made specific pledges to delist products.
Overall trends in this year’s survey

This year’s survey revealed a number of general trends, particularly around transparency and the rate of sector-wide change to eliminate plastic.

1. Transparency surrounding plastic use has increased substantially, but consistency remains a challenge.

All major retailers provided data on both branded and own-brand plastic use. Iceland was the only retailer which did not include this data broken down by polymer and format type. This data is important to determine how plastic reductions are taking place, for example if it is being achieved primarily through light-weighting rather than plastic elimination and switching to alternative delivery systems.

Inconsistencies around unit reporting in previous years prevents a clear understanding of the evolution in the plastic footprint in terms of plastic units. The next step in terms of increasing transparency in the sector would be to disclose plastic reports in a sector-wide standardised format and to treat these reports like financial audits, having them independently verified and publicly available.

2. There is inconsistency in how well retailers are delivering on plastic reduction.

The size of the retailer and its growth trajectory do not appear to have a direct influence on whether or not the retailer is successfully reducing the amount of plastic packaging. In the context of own-brand packaging only, small – and static market share – retailers have both reduced (e.g. Iceland, -29.2%) and increased (Co-op, +4.5%) their plastic use since 2017. The same can be said for larger retailers in the Big Four, with percentage changes in plastic use since 2017 ranging from a reduction of 9.4% (Morrison) to an increase of 4.8% (Asda).

3. Strategies to eliminate single-use plastic remain at an early stage of implementation.

Most retailers continue to emphasise actions related to single-use carrier bags or giveaway cafe items when they describe their efforts to eliminate single-use plastics. There are a few notable exceptions to this, with Waitrose and Tesco providing the most well-rounded examples of existing scalable pilots, particularly utilising reuse and refill systems, as well as un-packaged produce, to address some of the higher impact categories of plastic waste.

However, most retailers – if they even discuss broader company strategies – are still in the early conceptual phase and do not have pilots in motion, plans for roll-outs or commitments in place to accelerate the transformation. For example, Iceland cites an early stage discussion with SodaStream to provide a reusable offering, but a project has not yet been developed, piloted or planned. In 2019 we had hoped to see much more evidence of the scaling of successful trials and pilots nationwide to increase the impact of plastic reduction initiatives.

4. Company policy for products is still inconsistent.

Some retailers have begun working with their branded suppliers to ensure their packaging ambitions are shared and implemented. An absence of this type of activity from the majority of retailers risks shifting the burden outside of the own-brand portfolio, which can be misleading when looking at overall reduction numbers.

Additionally, some companies have inconsistent approaches throughout their own operations, with their commitments not reaching marketing and promotional departments. For example, M&S has recently been criticized for the launch of its ‘Little Shop’, which included giveaways of throwaway plastic and other single-use items, although customer feedback led M&S to increase recycled content and durability.

To achieve the necessary system change, company-wide buy-in to plastic reduction efforts is needed, with creation of coherent cross-departmental and cross-organisational policies which look at internal operations, staff engagement, promotions and delivery systems, as well as customer-facing packaging.

5. Retailers are mostly supportive of stricter regulatory and policy requirements.

Although there is some criticism of the existing Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) implementation in the UK, there is fairly broad support by retailers for the revision of these types of regulations to support better packaging and for using funds generated from schemes such as EPR to improve domestic recycling capacity and incentivise design for reduction of plastic and reuse.

Some retailers highlighted concerns about the ‘all in’ nature of Deposit Return Schemes (DRS), in particular the inclusion of glass, and suggest it should form part of a more comprehensive investment strategy to boost recycling infrastructure.

Some retailers, such as Co-op, not only have clear policy positions but are also involved in investigating improvements to the current policy proposals, for example by looking at the role of technology in DRS to bring more containers into scope, integrate with kerbside collection and improve access. Engagement to support these measures is generally through trade bodies such as the British Retail Consortium, the Plastics Pact or direct engagement with policymakers such as Defra.

Few of the companies, however, are supportive of mandatory targets or other requirements on re-usable packaging or plastic reduction set at a Government level and prefer to set ambition at the company level, which is something we would encourage greater ambition on.

6. Light-weighting remains a dominant strategy for reducing the plastic footprint.

Light-weighting, the process by which packaging is redesigned to reduce its weight, remains a common approach by supermarkets to reduce plastic packaging.

Some progress in the elimination of unnecessary plastics such as trays and lids has been made; however, reductions driven by these measures were lower in scale and impact. Although light-weighting can have positive impacts in terms of carbon footprint, reducing components and total amount of material in packaging, there are concerns that it may result in significantly increased use of lighter plastics which are less easy to recycle, unrecyclable or not economically viable to recycle.

We encourage retailers to go packaging-free and shift to reuse wherever possible. In 2020 Greenpeace launched the report Unpacked: How Supermarkets Can Cut Plastic in Half by 2025 which identified the potential to reduce plastic by 70–90% in 13 product categories, predominantly through strategies of elimination of packaging, refill and reuse.

Directing efforts to some of the high-impact areas such as vegetables and salad, water, milk and household cleaning products could yield high-impact results in meeting plastic reduction targets without resorting to light-weighting.

1. Transparency surrounding plastic use has increased substantially, but consistency remains a challenge.

2. There is inconsistency in how well retailers are delivering on plastic reduction.

3. Strategies to eliminate single-use plastic remain at an early stage of implementation.

4. Company policy for products is still inconsistent.

5. Retailers are mostly supportive of stricter regulatory and policy requirements.

6. Light-weighting remains a dominant strategy for reducing the plastic footprint.
Retailer snapshot: highlights and lowlights

When evaluating the efforts of the retailers, we examined them across all categories, collecting exhaustive information with which to compare priorities and progress.

Implementing ambitious policies does not happen overnight, but in their responses we are looking for coherence across policies and investment in the types of initiatives that have potential for scale and impact in reducing plastic pollution.

Implementing ambitious policies does not happen overnight, but in their responses we are looking for coherence across policies and investment in the types of initiatives that have potential for scale and impact in reducing plastic pollution.

In this section we provide an overview of the 10 supermarkets, highlighting areas of positive improvement and areas where they are lagging.

Table 4: An overview of best performing areas and places for improvement for the 10 major UK supermarkets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retailer</th>
<th>Best performing areas</th>
<th>Could be better</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lidl</td>
<td>Absolute own-brand plastic reduction of 2.6% since 2017 and a corresponding reduction of 14% per unit of market share.</td>
<td>Limited engagement on reuse or plans for increasing this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;S</td>
<td>Absolute plastics reduction of 3.5% across the business since 2017.</td>
<td>Unambiguous commitments on plastic reduction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASDA</td>
<td>Second lowest plastic use per unit of market share of all 10 retailers.</td>
<td>Limited policy positions that are consistent with our asks, with little engagement on those where they state support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-op</td>
<td>Third lowest plastic usage per unit of market share of all the retailers.</td>
<td>Increase in bags, and highest use of plastic produce bags per unit of market share of all 10 retailers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Absolute own-brand plastic reduction of 5% since 2017.</td>
<td>Plastic strategy inconsistent across the business (e.g. marketing initiatives such as Little Shop).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrisons</td>
<td>Absolute plastic reduction of 4.4% since 2017, however this reduces to -0.4% on a per unit of market share basis.</td>
<td>No specific pledge to delist branded suppliers, though this represents a small proportion of the business.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sainsbury’s</td>
<td>Absolute reduction of 4.8% since 2017.</td>
<td>Increase in total plastic use per unit of market share of 3% per unit of market share since 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tesco</td>
<td>Absolute own-brand plastic reduction of 4.4% since 2017.</td>
<td>Increased sales of plastic water bottles (+12% since 2018).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitrose</td>
<td>Absolute reduction of 6.1% of all plastic use since 2017.</td>
<td>Branded supplier engagement could benefit from more tangible targets and pledges.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 (cont’d): An overview of best performing areas and places for improvement for the 10 major UK supermarkets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retailer</th>
<th>Best performing areas</th>
<th>Could be better</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lidl</td>
<td>Limited engagement on reuse or plans for increasing this.</td>
<td>Unambiguous commitments on plastic reduction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;S</td>
<td>Limited policy positions that are consistent with our asks, with little engagement on those where they state support.</td>
<td>Increase in bags, and highest use of plastic produce bags per unit of market share of all 10 retailers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASDA</td>
<td>Increase in total plastic use per unit of market share of 3% per unit of market share since 2018.</td>
<td>Plastic strategy inconsistent across the business (e.g. marketing initiatives such as Little Shop).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-op</td>
<td>Limited engagement on reuse or plans for increasing this.</td>
<td>No specific pledge to delist branded suppliers, though this represents a small proportion of the business.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Increase in total plastic use per unit of market share of 3% per unit of market share since 2018.</td>
<td>Increased sales of plastic water bottles (+12% since 2018).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrisons</td>
<td>Second lowest percentage of fruit and vegetables sold loose (22%).</td>
<td>Branded supplier engagement could benefit from more tangible targets and pledges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sainsbury’s</td>
<td>Second highest proportion of loose fruit and vegetables (24%)</td>
<td>No specific pledge to delist branded suppliers, though this represents a small proportion of the business.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tesco</td>
<td>Absolute reduction of 4.4% since 2017.</td>
<td>Increase in total plastic use per unit of market share of 3% per unit of market share since 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitrose</td>
<td>Absolute plastic reduction of 4.4% since 2017.</td>
<td>Plastic strategy inconsistent across the business (e.g. marketing initiatives such as Little Shop).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHECKING OUT ON PLASTICS III
Plastic bags

In 2019, 10 companies representing 95.2% of the grocery retail market sold 525 million single-use carrier bags and 1.58 billion ‘bags for life’, the heavier duty ‘reusable option’.

This equates to almost 57 'bags for life' per UK household in 2019, more than one each week – a 4.5% increase since 2018 and 65% increase since 2017.16

Tesco and Sainsbury's were able to drive down sales of 'bags for life' by 26% and 9% respectively, from 2018-19. However, huge increases in sales by Asda, Iceland and M&S ensured that overall 'bags for life' sales still grew last year.

As a major retailer working in high volumes, Tesco's reduction of 26% represents 185 million bags compared to 2018. This is, however, still a net increase of 98 million bags when compared to 2017 sales.

In March 2020, Tesco reduced the weight of its 'bag for life', removing an estimated 187 tonnes of plastic per annum, and has now transitioned to sourcing them from 100% recycled content, a move which has the potential to lessen the overall environmental impact of the bags.

Waitrose is trialling the removal of its 10p 'bags for life' and the introduction of a more durable and higher price point to encourage reuse. We also note that M&S’s ‘bags for life’ are now made from closed-loop recycled plastic from its operational plastic waste and bags can be returned by customers.

Morrison’s is testing different approaches to bag use with customers to encourage greater reuse, including phased price increases and testing a reusable paper bag capable of carrying 16kg in weight. In 2019, it increased prices of its plastic bag for life in England from 20p to 30p, while keeping the paper bag prices slightly lower to encourage uptake (25p). Together this has led to significant reduction in plastic ‘bag for life’ sales, with the latest data reported showing volumes, on average, falling to lows of 1.66 million per week (from 2.7 million per week the same calendar week of the previous year). Although material substitution is not an ideal approach, experimenting with formats and prices to identify a plan to scale-up reuse is commendable.

Overall, the scale of plastic carrier bag usage remains incredibly high. A staggering 2.1 billion plastic bags (both the thinner ‘single-use’ and ‘bags for life’) were sent home with customers during 2019. This figure does not include ultra-lightweight bags used for produce and baked goods.

On the positive side, sales of single-use carrier bags from 2017-19 have reduced by 56%, with several retailers stopping their sale completely (see Figure 5). This is consistent with Government reports which note a 59% decrease in 2019-20 compared to 2018-19.17

Our survey showed an overall reduction in single-use carrier bags of 33% since 2018, with only Morrisons reporting an increase in sales from zero to 5.6 million, likely from online deliveries.

Above: Plastic bags are being issued in their billions in the UK but, with limited opportunities for collection and recycling and the short life span for reuse on thinner bags, there is a high risk of leakage into the environment.

Above: Despite being intended for reuse, data indicates that ‘bags for life’ are being treated as single-use. Their durability could lead to significant environmental problems if not disposed of properly.

Table 5: Single-use plastic bag and ‘bag for life’ prices for the 10 major UK supermarkets and Ocado

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retailer</th>
<th>‘Bags for life’</th>
<th>Single-use plastic bags</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aldi</td>
<td>15p</td>
<td>Not sold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asda</td>
<td>20p</td>
<td>No information provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-op</td>
<td>10p</td>
<td>5p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>10p</td>
<td>Not sold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidl</td>
<td>15p</td>
<td>Not sold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrisons</td>
<td>30p</td>
<td>5p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocado</td>
<td>Not sold</td>
<td>5p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sainsbury’s</td>
<td>5-20p</td>
<td>5-20p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tesco</td>
<td>10p</td>
<td>40p (online only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitrose</td>
<td>10p and £1.46</td>
<td>20p (per online order)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 8 shows the percentage change in combined plastic bag sales (single-use and ‘bags for life’) from 2018-19 per 1% market share.

While four companies made overall reductions (Waitrose, Tesco, Co-op and Aldi), six (Iceland, Asda, Lidl, M&S, Morrisons and Sainsbury’s) reported increased sales, with the growth being driven by sales of ‘bags for life’. The data show a large range of progress in reducing sales of plastic bags by the retailers, from a 63% reduction by Waitrose to a staggering 91% increase by Iceland.

The Government charge for single-use carrier bags is due to increase to 10p in April 2021, but the data suggests the current plastic ‘bag for life’ model, whereby a heavier bag is offered at a slightly higher price to encourage reuse, is not working.

Our recommendation to retailers remains the same – to concerted efforts on reducing the sales of plastic ‘bags for life’ through encouraging customer reuse to ensure the continuing upward trend in sales is stemmed. We recognise that the retailers are seeking solutions to this challenge and responding to the concerns we raised about high numbers of ‘bags for life’. Lidl, for example, noted in its response that it has trialled banning ‘bags for life’ across Wales in response to alarming ‘bag for life’ numbers.

We urge supermarkets to encourage reuse and create a price disincentive, for example by increasing the charge to at least 70p. Wherever possible, supermarkets should be sourcing ‘bags for life’ made from 100% recycled content and not relying on virgin plastic for their production, in addition to continuing to decrease the numbers of single-use bags.

We would also welcome further Government engagement on a ban on plastic bags to create a level playing field for all retailers. In the meantime, we hope that more supermarkets will consider their own plastic bag bans.

Figure 8: Percentage (%) change in single-use plastic bags and ‘bags for life’ sales from 2018-19 per 1% market share

Figure 7: Number of single-use plastic bags and ‘bags for life’ issued in 2019 per 1% market share (not including ultra-lightweight grocery bags)
Single-use items

We urgently need to change the mindset of products being sourced, designed, manufactured, transported and sold to be used just once and then thrown away.

Our linear model of production and consumption is costing the Earth and the mindset of take, make, dispose is perpetuating the environmental challenges we are facing. Our emphasis in engagement with retailers is to shift the perception of plastic so that it is treated as a valuable commodity, used responsibly and only for essential purposes. It should also be easily recyclable and designed with circularity in mind.

Substituting plastic for other materials or making single-use items from bioplastics or biodegradable plastics only serves to perpetuate the myth that single-use is acceptable.

In our survey, we asked for data on single-use items such as bottles, cutlery and cups, providing the retailers with definitions as to how to count this information and to label whether items were provided for free or sold. These items represent high volumes of units and are a significant opportunity for plastic reduction through their removal.

Consistency in the data provided has been an ongoing challenge, with wildly varying numbers in the year-on-year comparisons suggesting inaccurate reporting, lack of access to data or huge fluctuations in sales.

Water bottles

To collect data on bottles, we asked the retailers to count all types and sizes of plastic water bottles (including flavoured, sparkling, etc) and to include each bottle in a multipack as an individual unit. For instance, a six-bottle multipack of water represents six units.

Single-use plastic wattle bottles are a major area of concern. With some of the best quality drinking water in the world available effectively for free, we have been encouraging supermarkets to encourage customers to refill their water bottles in-store by providing refill stations wherever possible, something many of the retailers confirmed was in place.

As identified in the Greenpeace Unpacked report, a reduction in water bottle sales of 90% would save more than 31,000 tonnes of plastic per year. The simplest and most effective option to reduce plastic pollution from water bottles is for supermarkets to simply stop selling them. However, as a large volume item with a high sales margin for retailers, there is a clear reluctance to remove them from shelves.

Data on bottles has been collected since 2017, but a year-on-year comparison is not possible due to inconsistencies in reporting. For own-brand bottles, five retailers reported 692 million in 2017, and eight retailers reported 1.10 billion in 2018 and 1.25 billion bottles in 2019. The 10 major UK supermarkets reported 2.46 billion branded and own-brand single-use plastic water bottles were sold or given away in 2019.

Despite efforts by some to move to 100% recycled PET bottles (e.g. Co-op) to reduce the reliance on virgin plastic, the overall volume remains staggering and we urge retailers to act now to support refill systems for water and end the provision of free single-use bottles for customers as part of promotions.

Figure 9: Number of branded and own-brand single-use plastic water bottles sold or given away in 2019 by the 10 major UK supermarkets

Above: The volume of plastic bottles issued in the UK is staggering. For single-use plastic water bottles alone, the 10 supermarkets reported 2.46 billion sold or given away in 2019

Above: Plastic bottles are a common feature on ‘top 10’ lists around the world of most commonly littered items. Policy initiatives such as Deposit Return Schemes are specifically aimed at reducing the numbers of bottles found in the environment, but are yet to be implemented on the scale required for real change.
Cutlery

The use of plastic cutlery also appears to have risen year-on-year. From 143 million units in 2017 to 166.3 million units in 2018 and 195.5 million in 2019, representing a 37% increase in plastic cutlery sales and give aways by the 10 major UK supermarkets from 2017-19. These reported data are, however, skewed by gaps and inconsistencies.

Tesco, for example, reported 52.2 million units of cutlery in 2018, a 2,700% increase from 2018. This is likely due to the company reporting branded cutlery found in packaged food that it had not done previously. In addition, no 2017 data was provided by Morrisons and Sainsbury’s. These inconsistencies make year-on-year comparisons problematic, and further highlight the need for legally-mandated reporting.

M&S, Waitrose, Aldi, Iceland and Lidl have stopped providing or selling plastic cutlery to customers completely, with others reporting a switch to other materials such as wood. We urge all supermarkets, but particularly those which have an increasing trend in sales (Morrisons, Co-op and Tesco), to provide reusable cutlery and crockery in in-store cafes and encourage customers to bring their own cutlery for takeaway items, noting commitments by retailers such as Asda to remove all plastic cutlery from own-brand pre-packaged ‘Food to Go’ items following successful trials.

Engagement with brands to eliminate single-use cutlery in pre-packaged products will also be important. In implementing removal strategies, we advise against retaining the single-use model by transitioning to unconventional plastics or other non-plastic materials as these present their own issues and simply displace the burden elsewhere.

Despite a lack of clarity on the overall picture, it is clear that supermarkets are not doing enough to scale down this unnecessary source of plastic pollution. Ultimately, efforts by the retailers to phase out the free provision of single-use plastic cutlery should be supported by comprehensive regulation to end the use of non-essential single-use items entirely, building on recent legislation banning other harmful disposable plastic items.

Cups

For the past three years, EIA and Greenpeace have asked supermarkets how many single-use plastic coffee cups they sold or gave away in their stores and cafes. Similar to 2017-18, we note the number of plastic coffee cups distributed in 2019 decreased by 35.3% compared to 2017-18, with the majority of the reduction due to Waitrose removing them from sale altogether.

Sainsbury’s and Iceland also made major reductions, by 49.6% and 91.6% respectively, with Iceland eliminating them altogether. On the other hand, Asda and M&S reported an increase in issuance of plastic coffee cups and Tesco increased sales by 53.9% on 2017 figures, despite a 2% decrease compared to 2018. Co-op’s coffee provision moved into a franchise and was thus not accounted for in its reporting numbers.

Overall, from 2018-19, supermarkets decreased the sale and provision of single-use plastic coffee cups from an estimated 75.2 million units in 2018 to 48.7 million in 2019, a reduction of 35.3%.

Supermarkets also provided data on the total number of single-use plastic cups sold or given away in order to clearly differentiate between the types of cups put on the market.

Plastic cups are often used for samples during in-store promotions or sold as part of party packs. Beyond coffee, there is still an alarmingly high quantity of single-use plastic cups sold or given away by supermarkets, in particular by ASDA and Tesco (see Figure 10).

We congratulate those supermarkets which made significant reductions over this period and strongly encourage all to follow the lead of Aldi, Co-op, Iceland, Lidl and Waitrose by not stocking or giving away single-use cups.

We strongly advise supermarkets to avoid the use of ‘compostable’ or ‘biodegradable’ cups due to the issues these items cause at end of life. A number of retailers reported in their responses a move towards deposits for cups and reusable cups in cafes. We ask supermarkets to completely end the use of single-use plastic cups for promotional use and for sale and to shift to incentivising cup reuse through discounts for customers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retailer</th>
<th>Number of single-use coffee cups sold or given away</th>
<th>Percentage change (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aldi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asda</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td>7,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-op</td>
<td>265,456</td>
<td>392,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>132,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidl</td>
<td>45,400</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;S</td>
<td>6,126,650</td>
<td>8,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrisons</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>780,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sainsbury’s</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tesco</td>
<td>15,500,000</td>
<td>24,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitrose</td>
<td>52,000,000</td>
<td>27,630,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>83,939,466</td>
<td>75,284,720</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Above: Single-use plastic items such as cups and cutlery are a major source of plastic pollution and we encourage retailers to stop selling and giving away these items.

Figure 10: Total number of other single-use plastic cups (excluding coffee cups) sold or given away in 2019.
Fruit and vegetables

The survey asked retailers what proportion of fruit and vegetables are currently sold loose compared to pre-packaged, noting that a frequent complaint from consumers is the unnecessary plastic packaging often seen on fruit and vegetables.

Morrisons, Sainsbury’s, Waitrose and Aldi reported the highest proportion of fruit and veg sold loose with several describing efforts and targets to increase this percentage, for example following trials of new weighing systems (e.g. Aldi). We lack historic data for comparison except for Aldi and Lidl. These two companies increased the quantity of loose fruit and veg sold by just 2% and 1% respectively from 2018-19.

In its response, Asda did not provide a percentage proportion, but described efforts to remove plastic and provide reusable vegetable bags for 30p, coupled with point-of-sale information for customers to encourage reuse.

Iceland reported selling only 2.7% of fruit and veg unpacked, limited to honeydew melon, pineapple and swede. In its rationale, it pointed to a lack of infrastructure for weighing loose items and potential concerns around food waste.

Targets to increase these percentages are lacking or modest, demonstrating that national expansion of unpacked fruit and veg remains in its early stages.

Supermarkets were also asked for data on the ultra-lightweight bags provided in the fruit and veg and bakery sections, noting that these bags are a particular concern due to their flimsy composition making reuse unlikely. In this respect, we saw some progress, with six supermarkets reporting a reduction. Waitrose reported the largest reduction on a market share basis (87%) since 2017 (see Figure 13).

Morrisons was the first UK supermarket to remove all plastic bags for loose fruit and veg and replace them with a paper alternative. This has removed 176 million bags per year, the equivalent of 269 tonnes of plastic, although the switch to paper remains a concern.

Aldi estimated that the removal of the bags will save an estimated 53 tonnes of plastic per year, while Lidl reported it would save approximately 250 tonnes of plastic per year due to light-weighting the ultra-light bags rather than removing them. Although this reduces weight, replacing plastic with plastic is not a solution that we would endorse; the same number of plastic bags is still being produced and used.

Waitrose explored material substitution to drive down the use of ultra-light bags, in its case via an alternative derived from corn starch which can be home-composted or used as a food waste caddy liner, in addition to a reusable bag to use for fresh produce.

Several retailers reported providing reusable and recyclable bags to encourage customers to reuse, although it was concerning to note that not all retailers provided a plan to scale-up unpacked ranges and provide reusable bags for these loose products.
Reusing and Refilling

Reusing and refilling are at the heart of our recommendations to retailers. This year, we have seen more retailers trialing reuse and refill systems, which we hope to see scaled up and rolled out to as many stores as possible in 2021.

Although there is increasing enthusiasm for reuse and refill, some retailers shared challenges they faced. M&S described three challenges: 1) customer acceptability to drive uptake; 2) avoiding unintended negative consequences of additional food waste; and 3) finding formats which can work in hundreds of stores across the country. Co-op also noted its store format presented challenges.

Asda opened a sustainability store in Leeds in October 2020, with the potential to demonstrate how the reuse and refill approach could offer savings and establish a model to debunk misconceptions about the cost disincentives of reuse to shoppers, something that will be increasingly important as the country builds back from COVID-19.

Asda’s store includes extensive refill stations to provide plastic packaging-free groceries. The store carries brand products by 2025.

Summary of responses to the request for information on targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retailer</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Baseline year</th>
<th>Other info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aldi</td>
<td>No target</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asda</td>
<td>Minimum of reuse and refill products</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>No baseline</td>
<td>Starting with trial at Middleton and two further stores in 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-op</td>
<td>No target</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>No target</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidl</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Double number of refillable plastic packaging solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;S</td>
<td>No target</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrisons</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2018/2019</td>
<td>Applies to own-brand products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocado</td>
<td>No target</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sainsbury’s</td>
<td>TRC hot exploring: de-cream, water, milk, juice carbonates</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Working with Plastics Pact to develop target; needs to reduce plastic and packaging and not shift the problem from consumer to back of house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tesco</td>
<td>All larger stores to have reuse offer across multiple products</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitrose</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Across the entire estate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With several other retailers mentioning trials and pilots for this type of approach and findings being shared via industry networks, the transformative potential of reuse and refill for reducing plastic is gaining traction. The next step we are encouraging is to set targets for reuse to measure progress, underpinned by Government-mandated reuse targets.
Recycling and recycled content

The survey asked retailers about their plans to eliminate harmful non-recyclable packaging formats from their own-brand ranges, such as black plastic, polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and films, and what percentage of the single-use plastic packaging they place on the market is classed as widely recyclable according to the On-Pack Recycling Label (OPRL) definition.

Designing with circularity in mind is a necessary strategy in the journey towards eliminating single-use plastic packaging and reducing plastic pollution.

Retailers reported that 74% of own-brand packaging and 60% of branded packaging by weight is classed as widely recyclable, although the latter was only reported by six retailers, underscoring the need for more transparent reporting from brands on the composition of their products.

Current estimates are that only 75% of local councils in England accept ‘widely recyclable’ labelled products, with even fewer, between 20-75% of local councils, accepting the products labeled with ‘check local recycling’.20 With such a patchwork of capacity and the potential for consumer confusion about recyclability, a broader strategy to reduce overall packaging volumes is clearly paramount.

We encourage retailers to set targets for increasing recycled content in their products. The table shows the targets for the 10 retailers and Ocado, with most retailers aiming to include 30-50% of recycled content in the targets for the 10 retailers and Ocado, with most recycled content in their products. The table shows the targets for the 10 retailers and Ocado, with most retailers aiming to include 30-50% of recycled content in packaging within the next few years.

This trend is in line with guidance within the forthcoming UK plastic packaging tax,21 which applies to plastic packaging produced in or imported into the UK that does not contain at least 30% recycled plastic and has the aim of creating an economic incentive for more circular design while boosting collection and recycling.

### Table 8: Retailer targets for increasing recycled content in packaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retailer</th>
<th>Target to increase recycled content</th>
<th>Target year</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aldi</td>
<td>50% recycled content across all packaging</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asda</td>
<td>30% recycled content</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-op</td>
<td>30% recycled content in PET and HDPE rigid</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>No specific target as focus is on plastic removal but aim to recycle content where possible</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidl</td>
<td>50% recycled content for all products</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;S</td>
<td>30% recycled content for plastic packaging</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrisons</td>
<td>30% own-brand plastic packaging</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocado</td>
<td>30% recycled content all own-branded products</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sainsbury’s</td>
<td>30% all food plastic packaging</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tesco</td>
<td>30% recycled content</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitrose</td>
<td>All own-brand and branded products</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Online

This year has shown the huge potential for growth in the online grocery business, with Tesco creating 16,000 jobs to support its expansion and a prediction that sales will rise by two-thirds this year to £5.5 billion, a growth trend also seen more generally in the sector.22

It was also the first year in which Ocado participated in the survey and we were hoping to see this online grocery business demonstrate plans to maximise the potential of online for reuse and refill at home through a comprehensive plastics strategy.

We welcomed the first opportunity to view Ocado’s approach to plastic reduction. On the positive side, Ocado is exploring bag-free delivery and has take-back schemes for bags and some other plastics. The collected bags are recycled as part of a closed loop created within the Ocado operation.

The response also mentioned plans to introduce more reuse and refill next year while reporting a small packaging weight reduction across the business.

It was clear from Ocado’s response that its plastics strategy is at an early stage, with no strategic or overall packaging reduction target yet in place. Several opportunities exist for the company in 2021 as it undertakes a packaging redesign project for its own-brand range and is starting to work more with branded suppliers.

We encourage Ocado to set ambitious targets to reduce its plastic footprint and include a target for scaling reuse and refill within its operations, capitalising on the huge increase in demand for online groceries and its advantage as a purely online business with existing infrastructure.

Across the board, there was limited emphasis on specific online strategies for capitalising on the growth of this area, although most reported bagless delivery options and Sainsbury’s included information about plans for refill-at-home testing for milk, juice, detergents and other products to establish customer uptake and assess the resource needed to make these initiatives scalable. Waitrose described exploring taking its Unpacked trial into its online offering.

Morrison’s is one retailer providing bagless delivery and has been working with online partners, Ocado and Amazon, to reduce single-use plastic and offer refillable and reusable alternatives. For example, orders fulfilled through its Amazon Prime partnership are delivered in paper bags. Chilled and frozen products are transported inside cool bags which are retained and reused by Amazon.

Tesco’s partnership with Loop, which it estimates has saved 9,000 single units of packaging from landfill since the launch in July 2020, demonstrates the truly transformative potential of reverse logistics, bag-free shopping, unpackaged products and refill at home.

There is scope for a sector-wide prioritisation of refill at home which would drive further growth in this area and enable greater capacity for bulk buying. We hope to see more retailers setting targets in this area next year and scaling their test initiatives.

### Case study: Tesco and Loop

Loop is a project pioneered by US company LoopCycle to get rid of single-use plastic packaging on everyday products, from groceries to shampoo.

It has already partnered with some of the biggest brands and retailers across America and in France with Carrefour. In 2020, it teamed up with Tesco to bring reusable packaging directly to UK homes, initially selling online and then through Tesco’s UK stores.

Loop offers well-known-branded products alongside unbranded, white label products, both in reusable packaging, for which customers pay a returnable deposit.

Purchased items are sent in vans to customers’ homes and refilled using reusable containers. Used plastic is then taken back and cleaned at Loop’s recycling centre. Customers can then refill as they please from the tote, which would drive further growth in this area.33

There is scope for a sector-wide prioritisation of refill at home which would drive further growth in this area and enable greater capacity for bulk buying. We hope to see more retailers setting targets in this area next year and scaling their test initiatives.
Convenience retailers

Each year we send the survey to significant convenience retailers in the sector, this time sharing the survey with Costcutter, Spar and McColls.

Both Spar and Costcutter noted the challenges of participating in the survey due to their role as symbol groups representing a range of smaller businesses. Costcutter was unable to provide any data except for a commitment to improving sustainability. Spar provided a breakdown of its activities, including eliminating black plastic and thus diverting 100 tonnes of black plastic from 47 products going to landfill, providing product refill stations for dry groceries, wine and household products and unpacking fruit and vegetable ranges.

McColls was the only convenience retailer to provide specific answers to the survey questions, primarily focused on disclosing information about plastic bags. While the scope of information is limited, the examples from Spar in driving down virgin plastic use through recycled content, coupled with the elimination of problematic plastics and the introduction of refill stations, provides a case study for the sector on the role convenience retailers can play in tackling plastic pollution.

We urge convenience retailers to continue efforts to improve access to data in order to implement effective strategies for plastic reduction across their networks.

Conclusions

The data provided by supermarkets for 2019 shows that while some progress is being made to eliminate single-use and unnecessary plastic packaging, it will fundamentally not address the scale of the challenges we are facing at the speed they are required to protect the planet.

Never more so than during the COVID-19 pandemic, have we seen the frontline role that supermarkets play in our communities and we now look to them to show leadership in driving urgent system changes to reduce plastic pollution and protect our health and environment.

As we emerge from the pandemic, we need to explore how these companies intend to focus on scaling up reuse and refill systems, the elimination of unnecessary and problematic plastics and a fundamental prioritisation of waste prevention in line with the waste hierarchy.

A zero waste and circular system is not only achievable, but is economically beneficial and has the potential to re-connect consumers with the products they bring into their homes. Such a vision should be at the heart of post-pandemic recovery.
Recommendations

We recognise that change takes time, but we urgently need UK supermarkets to be standard bearers in driving solutions to the plastic pollution crisis and not allow setbacks from COVID-19 to derail ambitious plans.

We encourage companies to collaborate and share learnings to enable faster progress across the sector, analysing trends and addressing root causes of pollution. We support pre-competitive collaboration on initiatives to address plastic reduction and the sharing of experience in workshops, communications and conferences to create a shared common vision. A summary of key recommendations are provided below.

**Single-use packaging**

- **Reduction targets.** Set targets to reduce plastic packaging by 50% by 2025 (against a 2019 baseline) and map out long-term plans for cutting single-use plastic, with shorter term milestones built in. Targets must apply to both branded and own-brand goods and could achieve an absolute reduction (without being tied to sales or growth). Methods for achieving these targets should prioritise removing single-use packaging altogether and shifting into reusable packaging, rather than light-weighting or swapping one single-use material for another.

- **Packaging-free ranges and reuse solutions.** Support a wholesale transition towards packaging-free ranges and reuse and refill systems across shop floors, supply chains and product lines nationwide, ensuring price parity, availability, accessibility and awareness.

- **Reuse targets:** Shifting to reuse and refill systems is an essential strategy to drive down the use of unnecessary plastic packaging. In order to enable this change we recommend that retailers establish reuse targets in their operations, as well as plastic reduction targets. These reuse targets will help define the ‘how’ of plastic reduction efforts internally and create the enabling environment for change. Based on our work with the sector, we suggest the following targets:
  - at least 25% of consumer packaging should be reusable by 2025, increasing to 50% by 2030;
  - at least 75% of transit (or secondary and tertiary) packaging should be reusable by 2025, increasing to 90% by 2030;
  - publicly share a company action plan on reuse with a timeline, which shows measurable, independently audited results by 2023.

There is a need to separate out the consumer and transit packaging targets to ensure that companies do not solely rely on transit packaging reductions to meet their targets. Reductions in front-facing consumer packaging are essential.

- **Collaborate with producers, retailers, governments and NGOs** to create scalable, standardised and reusable solutions, including support for ambitious legislation that reduces plastics reduction, incentivises reuse systems, deposit return schemes and penalises the overuse of plastics.

- **Refill at home.** Collaborate on efforts to create an enabling infrastructure with suppliers and reverse logistics to implement refill at home systems, setting targets and strategies in this area with measurable timelines for implementation.

- **Eliminate non-recyclable packaging.** Urgently eliminate all non-recyclable plastic polymers and packaging formats and make it mandatory for branded suppliers to do the same.

- **Non-conventional plastics.** Avoid false solutions involving non-conventional plastics (bio-based, biodegradable, compostable). In cases where biodegradable packaging must be used, it should be certified to British Standard EN 13432 and only sold in municipalities which have the appropriate industrial composting and anaerobic digestion facilities. We strongly recommend avoiding these materials due to lack of infrastructure to manage them appropriately.

- **Remove hazardous chemicals and materials from products and choose toxic-free materials and products.**

- **Address the drivers of single-use packaging.** Promote shorter supply chains and seasonal produce; ban excessive packaging used for marketing objectives; challenge the ‘convenience’ culture underpinning the wasteful on-the-go market. Ensure the policies to address these drivers are coherent across the entire business strategy.

**Single-use plastic items**

- **End sales and free provision of single-use items.** This includes, but is not limited to, plastic cutlery, bottles, cups and sanitary items. The level of ambition should extend beyond items slated for removal as part of single-use plastics legislation and should not be considered as a simple case of substituting single-use plastic for another material.

- **End sales of single-use plastic bags and increase the minimum price of plastic ‘bags for life’** to at least 70p to encourage reuse before a phase out. Offer reusable produce bags.

- **Stop selling single-use plastic water bottles.**

**Working with the supply chain**

- **Robust engagement with branded suppliers.** Engage with brand suppliers on reducing single-use plastics and packaging; set ambitious target setting, sharing of best practices and collaborating on refillable packaging ranges. De-list companies unwilling or unable to adapt.

- **Agriplastics.** Work with fruit and vegetable suppliers on the reduction and responsible management of plastics used on farms to prevent pollution of soil, rivers and oceans. Formalise this into a policy enforced across all suppliers.

- **Fisheries-related plastic waste.** Work with fishery suppliers to implement measures to prevent abandoned, lost and discarded fishing gear and other plastic waste from fishing vessels. Adopt sourcing policies that incorporate best practices for the prevention of lost fishing gear, such as those advocated by the Global Ghost Gear Initiative, and urge certification schemes to include compliance measures related to this issue.

- **Secondary and tertiary packaging.** Introduce a comprehensive policy requiring reusable containers for transportation, storage and distribution.

- **Plastic pellet loss.** Introduce requirements for suppliers to implement best practice measures to prevent plastic pellet loss, with third party auditing and reporting requirements. We urge supermarkets to ensure that certification to the new Publicly Available Standard (PAS) on pellet loss, due to be adopted in mid-2021, is a universal obligation for all relevant actors in their supply chain.

- **Monitoring plastic waste treatment.** Look at the treatment and destinations of plastic waste in supply chain operations and monitor progress in annual reporting.

**Engagement with staff and customers**

- **Staff, customer and community engagement campaigns.** Utilise all internal and external communication channels to empower staff and customers to reduce plastic, addressing financial and non-financial barriers to behavioural change.

**Transparency**

- **Publicly disclose full plastic footprint breakdown on websites and within annual, audited reports.** Investors and shareholders in these companies are encouraged to promote these recommendations through their engagements. We expect to see transparency and consistency on unit, weight and component reporting.

**Engagement with Government and policymakers**

This year’s survey has shown that UK retailers are broadly supportive of greater regulatory efforts to level the playing field and underpin their efforts to reduce plastic pollution. We recommend the retail sector continues to engage with the Government on progressive legislation and policy, including:

- urging the Government to use the Environment Bill to set legally binding targets to reduce single-use plastics by 50% by 2025 and to introduce mandatory corporate reporting on plastic reduction in order to create a level playing field for all sections of the grocery industry;

- calling on the Government to pursue, incentivise and support the adoption of reusable systems including providing funding support for trials of new systems/reuse innovation;

- asking for support via financial incentives to help the sector shift over to reuse. For instance, use Enhanced Capital Allowance (ECA) schemes to assist companies with investments in plant and machinery for reuse applications;

- ensuring that the new Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) requirements are designed to increase reuse and reduction of packaging as well as recyclability, in line with the waste hierarchy. This should be achieved through a combination of reuse and reduction targets and modulation of fees to incentivise eco-design, reuse and reduction and penalise single-use packaging. Proceeds from producer fees can be used to fund trials of new reuse and refill systems, allowing the retail sector to take advantage of the economic opportunities of reuse;

- continuing to push for an all-in Deposit Return Scheme (DRS) for drinks containers which allows for the further uptake of reuse and refill systems as well as improving recycling;

- joining other companies and the UK Government in the lead up to the United Nations Environment Assembly in 2022 in supporting the call for a global treaty to combat and prevent plastic pollution and working with the industry to input into an ambitious treaty design during negotiations;

- working with the Government to implement a closed loop integration system for recycling plastic materials from supermarkets as part of the transition to a circular economy.
Glossary

Agriplastics: Agriplastics (sometimes known as 'plasticulture' or 'ag plastics') are plastic products and packaging used in agricultural production and sales. Agriplastics include both plastic greenhouse film, silage covers and bags, irrigation systems, tunnels and covers. Inappropriate disposal of agriplastics is common, with low recycling rates and they are often burned, abandoned in fields or watercourses, buried in the soil and disposed in landfills. This can cause soil degradation, reduced crop productivity and impact marine species if the plastics enter the ocean – the latter being a particular issue in some regions.

Bioplastics: The term 'bioplastics' may refer to either 'bio-based' or 'biodegradable' plastics (see definitions below). A bioplastic could be both bio-based and biodegradable.

Bio-based plastics: 'Bio-based' means that the material is partly or largely derived from renewable resources. One example is Bio-PET (polyethylene terephthalate), which currently comprises 30% sugarcane and 70% fossil resources. There are sustainability concerns surrounding the widespread adoption of bio-based plastics, given the huge land-use implications. For example, in 2013, bioplastic production required 600,000 hectares of land to produce 1.6 million tonnes of plastics – a fraction of the total demand for plastics, which reached 322 tonnes in 2018.

Biodegradable plastics: Plastics may be labelled biodegradable if they meet specific industrial standards. European Standard EN13432 applies to all packaging materials and requires at least 90% disintegration after 12 weeks, 90% biodegradation in six months and includes tests on ecotoxicity and heavy metal content. EN14959 describes the same requirements and tests and applies to plastics in general. Complete biodegradation includes tests on ecotoxicity and heavy metal content. Conditions required for biodegradation are not always met in the natural environment and those needed for rapid biodegradation are quite strict. For example, some need prolonged temperatures above 50°C. This means if biodegradable plastics enter the natural environment, they will often pose the same dangers to fauna and flora as non-biodegradables.

Close-loop refillable packaging: Packaging designed to be collected by the producer for reuse in a close-loop system, similar to the traditional 'milkman' model where empties are picked up, washed, refilled and restocked for delivery to another customer.

Compostable plastics: Compostable plastics are a subset of biodegradable plastics that biodegrade within the conditions and timeframe of the composting process. EN 13432 defines industrially compostable packaging materials as those with the following characteristics:

- disintegration – the packaging sample is mixed with organic waste and maintained under test scale composting conditions for 12 weeks, after which time no more than 10% of material fragments are allowed to be larger than 2mm;
- biodegradability – a measure of the actual metabolic, microbial conversion, under composting conditions, of the packaging sample into water, carbon dioxide and new biomass. Within a maximum of six months, biodegradation of the test sample must generate an amount of carbon dioxide at least 90% as much as that given off from the control/reference material;
- absence of any negative effect on the composting process;
- low levels of heavy metals (Potentially Toxic Elements) and no adverse effect of the quality of compost produced. Upper limits, in mg/kg of dry sample, are: zinc 150, copper 50, nickel 25, cadmium 0.9, lead 50, mercury 0.5, chromium 50, molybdenum 1, selenium 0.75, arsenic 5 and fluoride 100;
- the composted packaging material must not have adverse effect on the bulk density, pH, salinity (electrical conductivity), volatile solids, total nitrogen, phosphorus, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, ammonium nitrogen and ammonium nitrogen characteristic of the compost.

Home compostable materials must be treatable at ambient temperatures. The timeframes for biodegradation and disintegration can be longer. Parameters such as moisture content, aeration, pH and carbon to nitrogen ratio do not need controlling.

Ecodesign is an approach to designing products with special consideration for the environmental impacts of the product during its whole lifecycle. Examples with relevance to packaging include connection caps to bottles, replacement of plastic cotton bud stems with paper stems, material substitution and securing of cutlery for on-the-go food, elimination of plastics from sanitary waste and wet wipes, trials of alternative materials for convenience food packaging etc.

Fisheries-related plastic waste: Plastics related to fishing activities, which can include abandoned, lost and discarded fishing gear, catch and bait boxes. Examples include connecting caps to bottles, replacement of plastic cotton bud stems with paper stems, material substitution and securing of cutlery for on-the-go food, elimination of plastics from sanitary waste and wet wipes, trials of alternative materials for convenience food packaging etc.

Fisheries-related plastic waste: Plastics related to fishing activities, which can include abandoned, lost and discarded fishing gear, catch and bait boxes. Examples include connecting caps to bottles, replacement of plastic cotton bud stems with paper stems, material substitution and securing of cutlery for on-the-go food, elimination of plastics from sanitary waste and wet wipes, trials of alternative materials for convenience food packaging etc.
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