Chilling Facts About A Burning Issue

CFC Smuggling In The European Union
Introduction

The large-scale, widespread and unchecked illegal trade in chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and other ozone depleting substances (ODS) within the European Union (EU) is undermining the success of the Montreal Protocol and directly threatens human health and ecological security worldwide.

This spring ozone levels over the Northern Hemisphere were up to 40% thinner than 1979-1982 levels and covered an area half the size of Canada (5.3 million km²). In the Southern Hemisphere during the 1996 winter atmospheric ozone depletion reached 70-80% of pre-ozone hole values and lasted longer than ever before.

The resultant increase in ultra-violet radiation (UVR) reaching the Earth's surface is having a devastating impact on human health and the natural environment.

We are now poised on the threshold of a skin cancer epidemic among Caucasians. Estimates project approximately one million cases of skin cancer annually in the USA alone. In the Antarctic Ocean reductions in primary productivity of up to 23% have been recorded.

This report presents detailed new evidence of the extent of illegal trade in CFCs within the EU and illustrates the numerous loopholes which facilitate and encourage smuggling. Three years after the European phase-out began, and long after stockpiles should have been exhausted, large quantities of CFCs are still available for knock-down prices.

The recent arrest of the director of a German company, Taifun GmbH, for illegally importing over 600 tonnes of CFC-12 and 365 tonnes of halon 1301, confirms EIA's claim that there is a large, well-organised illegal trade turning profits smuggling ODS contraband into Europe.

Although the European authorities are to be commended on their enforcement action against Taifun – which represents a decisive reversal of their earlier policy of denying the problem – Europe remains at the heart of a worldwide illegal trade.

Almost all the 10,000 tonnes of CFC contraband which flooded the market in southern Florida from 1994 to 1996 – costing US customs over US$110 million in excise tax fraud alone – first passed through Europe and the hands of a number of companies whose activities have been widely questioned by legitimate industry. Current estimates of illegal trade within the EU range between 6,000-20,000 tonnes annually which will destroy many more thousands of tonnes of ozone.

The information in this report provides a compelling rationale to support the swift implementation of a proposal under consideration by Parties to the Protocol to ban 'the placing on the market and sale of virgin CFCs' within the European Union.

EIA applauds this proposal and urges the governments of the EU's member states to act with urgency and to finish the European CFC phase-out once and for all. We also call upon all Parties to the Montreal Protocol to crack-down on the illegal trade and institute a worldwide system of licensing for all ODS with "cradle-to-grave" documentation and a system of prior-informed consent.

It is now abundantly clear that these initiatives are vital if we are to deal effectively with the devastating consequences of continued ozone depletion.

Steve Trent
Head of Campaigns, EIA
September 1997.
Human Health

A sustained 10% ozone depletion will cause an additional 12 000 cases of BCC (up 21%) and 4 000 cases of SCC (up 31%).

Ozone Depletion and Human Health

Ozone depletion has led to significant increases in ground-level ultra-violet radiation (UVA [320-400 nm] and UV-B [280-320nm]), which will have profound consequences for human health.

Although UVR dosage depends upon a variety of factors, the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the World Health Organisation (WHO) estimate that every 1% decrease in stratospheric ozone will result in a 2% increase in UVR, leading to a 0.6-0.8% increase in cataracts and a 2% increase in non-melanocytic skin cancers (NMSC).

These estimates are broadly confirmed by other research. One report gives these rates as a 2.7% increase in basal cell carcinomas (BCCs), a 4.6% increase in squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs), both of which are types of NMSC, and a 0.6% increase in malignant melanomas for each 1% ozone reduction.

These general increases in impacts hold true for small ozone depletions but large losses will lead to disproportionate damage. A 10% reduction in ozone is predicted to result in a 24% increase in NMSC, a 30% loss may result in a doubling and a 50% loss in a quadrupling of cases.

Effects on the Skin — What Price a Sun Tan?

UVR has a number of effects on skin:

Sunburn — The most obvious effect of UV exposure is sunburn (erythema) — redness and itching of the skin due to dilation of superficial blood vessels. Higher UV exposures can result in oedema — blistering, pain and peeling.

Photo-ageing — Exposure to UVR causes wrinkling, loss of elasticity and localised alterations of pigmentation on habitually sun-exposed sites. The increased risk of photo-ageing as a result of ozone depletion is likely to be similar to that for skin cancer.

Skin Cancer — Numerous studies confirm that exposure to UVR is a major factor for both NMSC and for malignant melanoma.

Dramatic increases in all forms of skin cancer have been reported worldwide over recent decades, but rates of NMSC have increased so significantly that it has been labelled "a quiet 20th century epidemic." NMSC are now the commonest form of cancer found in many Caucasian populations. Though overall mortality is low, an estimated recurrence rate of about 50% and the local invasiveness of these carcinomas involve high medical costs and make NMSC a major public health concern.

The incidence of malignant cutaneous melanoma is reported to be increasing faster than any other cancer in Caucasians, almost doubling between 1973 and 1990. In the US, mortality from melanoma in Caucasians is second only to that from lung cancer in terms of overall cancer mortalities.

Even under the current ozone protection regime after the Montreal Amendment (1994), predictions show that the incidence of skin cancer is expected to increase globally. By 2050, more than 33 000 new cases per year in the US and 14 000 in Northwest Europe are expected — a regime which does not account for illegal trade.

Other Cancers

There is some evidence which suggests that solar radiation may play a role in melanoma of the eye and cancer of the lip, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and infection with the papillomavirus, which is itself believed to be a significant cause of human cancers.

Clinical and experimental data show the risk of limiting-radiation-induced cancer (i.e. from X-rays) is also significantly increased by subsequent exposures to UVR. This means simple comparisons of rates of skin cancer to other cancers may underestimate the true impact of elevated UVR.

A Quiet Epidemic

- United States. The risk of malignant melanoma in the United States has now reached 1 in 87 (or 1 in 70 for a Caucasian), an increase of more than 1 800% since the 1930s. This increase is real and is not an artifact of increased surveillance, better counting methodologies or changes in diagnostic criteria. In 1994, one study estimated that the incidence of NMSC in the US has increased from 350 000 in 1978 to between 900 000 and 1 2 million in 1994; a figure roughly equivalent to the combined numbers of all other cancers.

- Canada. An increase of about 60% in mean age-adjusted incidence of basal cell and squamous cell carcinoma was observed in British Columbia, between 1973-1975 and 1985-1987.

- United Kingdom. Expected levels of ozone depletion are predicted to contribute 4-10% additional lifetime risk of skin cancer in children alive today. There are around 40 000 recorded cases of skin cancer and more than 2 000 deaths per year, though the actual numbers may be up to twice these figures.

- According to Department of the Environment estimates, a sustained 10% ozone depletion will cause an additional 12 000 cases of SCC (up 21%) and 4 000 cases of SCC (up 31%). Another study places these increases even higher; up 30% and 50% respectively.

The incidence of malignant melanoma in the UK is rising by 8% per year, faster than any other cancer. Deaths from malignant melanoma have increased 70% from 1974 to 1992.

- Norway. The incidence of malignant melanoma is doubling every 12-13 years.

- Australia. The last five-year national survey recorded an increase in non-melanoma skin cancers to 944 1187 per 100 000 men and 714 769 per 100 000 women.

- Data from the Tasmanian Cancer Registry from 1978-1987 show an overall increase of 28% per year in the age-standardised rates of NMSC. A first NMSC during the study period was associated with a 12-fold increase among men and a 15-fold increase among women in the risk of developing a new NMSC within five years.

- Spain. The incidence of malignant melanoma in Spain has dramatically increased. The relative risk of melanoma to the cohort born in 1952 as opposed to the cohort born in 1892 was reported as 530:1 for men and 280:1 for women. Mortality due to the tumours has increased exponentially, up 119% per year in both sexes.

- Singapore. The national cancer control programme in Singapore shows that skin cancers have among the highest rates of increase of all types in both males (3.9%) and females (3.2%).

- Expatriates. Incident rates of BCC, SCC and Bowen's disease are at least 45 times higher in the Japanese immigrant population in Hawaii, Hawaii than for equivalent individuals back in Japan, a difference attributed to Hawaii's intense UVR and an emphasis on outdoor activities. Japanese immigrants in San Francisco show a similar increase in NMSC.
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Eye Damage — Blinded by the Light
There is substantial evidence linking ozone depletion and subsequently elevated UV-B to eye damage.1,2,3,5,9,10 Cataracts may be a more widespread health effect of UVR than skin cancer because all populations will be affected relatively equally.11

Snow blindness — Being light receptive, the cornea and the lens are inherently vulnerable to UVR. Intense UV exposure causes photokeratoconjunctivitis or “snow blindness”9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16

Cataracts — Cataract formation is linked to cumulative exposure to sunlight. Recent studies have implicated UV-B as the causative factor in the formation of cataracts.1,2,5,9,10,11

A 1% increase in atmospheric ozone depletion is estimated to result in a 0.5-0.8% rise in the incidence of cataracts — 100,000-150,000 additional cases worldwide.14,15

The Immune System
The immune system helps maintain health by protecting the body against infectious diseases and cancers. UVR disturbs the regulation of the immune system and antibody response to invasion and may influence the outcome of NMSECs, melanomas, autoimmune diseases, allergies, immunosuppression, and may constitute a particularly serious problem in areas where infectious diseases are common.17,18

Increased levels of UVR will also cause significant disruption to terrestrial and marine ecosystems, resulting in direct damage to organisms, decreased primary productivity and shifts in species composition.1,2,19,20 However, current attempts to assess the magnitude of these impacts are hampered by a lack of long-term data. Estimates for seductions in productivity on the Antarctic ice shelf range between 6% and 23%,19,20,21 though more recently this range has been revised to an upper limit of 12%. UVR radiation has been shown to damage early developmental stages of fish, crabs, shrimp, amphibians and other animals.1,2,19,21

For example, an astonishing number of a newly discovered species of fish called the murgunga (a three-inch, purple-spotted gudgeon species found in Australia) have already been found to have UV-induced cancers. Of the 9,000 animals studied, 500-1,000 have melanomas. Before science has even properly studied this fish, it may be extirpated by UVR.

Increasing UV-B radiation will also perturb biogeochemical cycles, increase material photo-degradation and have detrimental effects on air quality.22,23

The impact of UV-B on Antarctica
After months of winter darkness, the first shafts of light signal the start of the Antarctic spring. Single-celled phytoplankton bloom underneath the melting pack ice floes, turning them into floating gardens.

These phytoplankton blooms, which make up the basic building blocks of the marine food web — are especially vulnerable to the effects of UVR. Studies have indicated that the increasing UVR has limited their primary production by up to 25%.

This, in turn, may have catastrophic consequences for species within the ecosystem. These “floating gardens” supply the food for 500-700 million tonnes of krill which in turn is the food source for at least 120 species of fish, 80 species of seabirds, six species of seal and 15 species of whale.

Fridges, Fraud and Phase-out
The production of CFCs and halons for the domestic market, except for some essential uses, has been prohibited in the European Union since 1 January 1995. However, current European regulations that are riddled with loopholes, coupled with a low priority ascribed to the enforcement of illegal CFC trade, have conspired to allow thousands of tonnes of CFC contraband into the European market every year. This trade represents a multi-million dollar business with minimal risk of capture.

Large quantities of cheap CFCs continue to be manufactured by developing countries such as China and India which have until 2010 to phase out their production and by the Russian Federation, which is not currently in compliance with the Montreal Protocol. As the price of CFCs in developed countries has climbed, unscrupulous entrepreneurs and profit-seekers have appeared who can make over tenfold profits by diverting material from legitimate commerce.

Since the phase-out began, Europe has witnessed the sudden entry of dozens of small, fly-by-night brokers in the CFC supply business. These operations have no historical interest in the market and are run out of small offices with little more than a phone and fax machine but seem routinely able to supply large amounts of CFCs on a “no-questions-asked” basis. As offers of sale of products from outside the EU are not illegal and the paper trails generated by import, export and arbitrage activities are bewildering, it is not surprising that these operations have continued unabated.

The distribution apparatus itself has been top heavy for several years and competition for business is intense. The temptation for legitimate distributors to cut corners and buy cheap material may have proved too strong for some.

The scale of the smuggling is well-known to those working in the industry. Europe is awash with CFCs. A UK Department of the Environment report in 1996 stated that “by far the most important barrier to the refrigerant phase-out is the on-going availability of CFCs.”

Twelve months after the phase-out of production there are still reasonably large supplies available... Providing there are no illegal imports into the UK, availability will drop significantly towards the end of 1996. One year later, CFCs are still readily available.

The price of CFCs has remained unaccountably low. By October 1996, though the price of CFC-12 had increased by approximately 12 times in the US since phase-out, the EU price...
Estimates put the illegal trade in the US at around 10,000 tonnes per year (1995-96) with a value of US$113 million in lost revenue to the US Treasury.

Illegal Trade

In a number of cases the raised CFCs had been passed on to NR facilities as some stage of the journey. It seems the CFCs brought out of Russia, ostensibly for IPR, were finding their way illegally into the USA, the world's most lucrative market.

The material was moved out of Russia by rail to Estonia, where Martin and Wayne Sweeney had set up a switch plant to transfer the CFCs from rail tankers to iso tanks for shipping. Next stop was the NR plant in Birmingham, which acted as a vital conduit for the CFCs en route to the USA. Under IPR the material should then have gone on to Latin America or the Caribbean, but in fact it was illegally diverted onto the US market.

One UK distributor heard of NR's Russian IPR scheme and investigated the feasibility of a similar operation. The company concluded that it would be impossible to turn a profit due to the low prices offered in developing countries. The only market which would make such a complex undertaking worthwhile was the USA.

The Sweeneys broke away from NR when Joseph fell out with John Reilly. The split led to Martin and Wayne exploiting their Russian and Estonian contacts and forming a company called Northstream. A registered address in London was provided by a firm offering limited company services and its business address was a jewellery centre in Birmingham. It also boasted an office in Tallinn, capital of Estonia and the site of an influence-by-proxy operation.

It was not long before the Sweeney brothers were hawking CFCs of dubious origin around Europe. One UK distributor was contacted by Northstream in late 1995 with an offer of recovered CFC-12 from Russia. The large amounts of iso tanks available raised suspicions and chemical analysis showed that the material was too pure to have been recycled. As recently as last January, Northstream contacted distributors boasting of "our ability to source CIS (Confederation of Independent States) goods and take the risks involved."

Northstream was also linked to the German firm Taifun, which in July became the first target of co-ordinated EU CFC smuggling (see below). Despite the departure of the Sweeney's, National Refrigerants has continued to attract criticism. Last July, Spanish industry representatives reported that NR produces destined for developing countries was leaking on to the Spanish domestic market. Earlier this year Richard Petal, the company's US sales manager, was indicted for his part in illegally smuggling over 700 tonnes of CFCs on to the US market.
Illegal Trade

Tafun GmbH

The most startling illustration of illegal trade in Europe has been provided by Tafun Fuellungsgesellschaft und Vertriebs GmbH, a fire-fighting equipment company based in Frankfurt. Georg Güdemann, Tafun's director, was arrested in July this year for the unlicensed import of 630 tonnes of CFC-12 and 365 tonnes of halon 1301.1,2 The company acted in concert with a number of unavowed "entrepreneurs", including ADER (Abrawer Ellentstein Elektroanlagen Recycling in Bruscal), whose director was alleged to be involved in sanctions busting, selling SCUD missiles parts to Iraq3 — Martin Sweerey of Northstream and Hans Rienke Handelsgeellschaft GmbH in Hamburg.4,5

Tafun et al. perpetrated a classic fraud on a series of legitimate refrigerant distributors and end-users from 1995 onwards, offering bargain prices as little as DM10 (US$6) for CFC-12.6,7

In August 1996, similar cylinders turned up at the warehouse of Gasco, a Belgian distributor.8 The company was expecting ex-German military CFC-12 and so was surprised to be charged duty for an import from outside the EU. Once again the cylinders were Chinese and falsely labelled as R-22. Enquiries traced these back to Tafun via Northstream.

When the case came to light there were six other shipments en route from China to Gasco. These were turned back, but only until earlier this year by which time tens of thousands of supply contracts had been arranged in Germany and the Netherlands. But this time customs agents were waiting and seized over 150 tonnes of CFC-12 in Rotterdam. Even while under investigation, Güdemann and his contacts continued their activities. ICI/Klaas in the UK were sold 69 tonnes of CFC-12 when Güdemann provided a certificate from the Frankfurt Chamber of Commerce attesting to the German origin of their material. ICI provided their own numbered and registered cylinders for the trade and so were unaware where the material might have come from. The Frankfurt Chamber of Commerce has since admitted that they signed the certificates without checking Güdemann's claims about the origin of his material.9 Other companies that bought material from Tafun were Pitar S.L. in Athens, and HRP Refrigerants in Waléa.

Spanish connections

Spain probably has the biggest problem with unlicensed imports of CFCs in Europe and is crippled by inadequate legislation and confused bureaucracy. Changes to the Spanish market have been flooded by offers of cheap CFCs in non-returnable containers intended for developing countries which fail to meet European pressure and recycling standards.

What is the size of the black market?

Data held by the European Chemical Industry Council (CECIC) on the European refrigeration market show that stockpiling of CFCs before the phase out amounted to only 4.6% of the demand which could not ensure the ready availability of CFC-12 in Europe three years later.

In 1994, forward sales from CFC manufacturers inexplicably crashed by over 10 000 tonnes even though production for domestic sale was still legal. These observations have led industry representatives to place the size of the black market in Europe at between 10 000 and 20 000 tonnes in the first two years.10,11 A more detailed analysis was made available to EIA by a representative of the Dehon Group, Europe's largest distribution company. Total volume of end-use sales can be calculated based on their and their competitors' market shares and the total legal supply can be calculated from allowed production, stockpiling and recycling capabilities. The gap between the two figures is a relatively sound estimate of the volume of illegal trade. In 1995, illicit sources of CFCs accounted for approximately 8 000 tonnes of material on the market and in 1996, for around 6 500 tonnes.12

These cylinders provide compelling evidence of a thriving illegal trade as they have either come from outside the EU or from material repackaged in the EU for export. In early 1996, the Spanish Air-Conditioning and Refrigeration Society, ANEPRYC, denounced over 20 companies that had been found by the government for laundering contraband CFCs. Two companies where cited as particularly active: Digeras S.L. based in Zaragoza and Nelson Trippa S.L., a subsidiary of Electro Torres Nelson Trippa — a large Portuguese refrigerant contractor — which suddenly settled in Badalona.

Digeras offers large quantities of CFCs at unrealistically low prices. Most of its sales have been un-invoiced, cash-in-hand affairs and products were routinely supplied in bottles that were either non-returnable or refillable were "approved" for use by refrigerant suppliers. Electro Torres Nelson Trippa was an export agent for National Refrigerants in Birmingham and was meant to have re-exported large quantities of repackaged material to Portuguese colonies in Africa. However, this material appears to have been diverted onto the Spanish domestic market. Caniers of CFC-12 bought in Seville from Nelson Trippa were clearly National Refrigerants' product in non-returnable cylinders intended for export.13 Industry complaints finally prompted the Spanish authorities to search the warehouses of both companies, finding large stockpiles of CFCs. Lack of any legislation banning unlicensed imports or the diversion of exported or repackaged material onto the domestic market, the authorities could only sanction the companies for failing to meet EU Regulations for vessels under pressure.

Illegal Trade

Inward Processing Relief: A Smugglers' Charter

The European Inward Processing Relief (IPR) regime has also been subject to misuse as a "smugglers' charter".16 Russia and several developing countries suffer chronic shortages of small cylinders of the type required by end-use clients. To compensate for this, the Commission allowed the unrestricted entry of CFC shipments so that European firms could repack and re-export the material. It is believed this "open border" regime has been substantially abused.

In 1995, there was a 2.5% tonnage discrepancy (equalling 10% of the EU total trade in that year) between what EU customs data reported had entered Europe and the Commission's actual issuance of licences.17 Material intended for IPR had been recorded as official imports. The Commission has tried to address this problem through licensing IPR but mis-reporting is still routine. Also as material is allowed to lie fallow in the EU for up to 18 months and may be re-exported by a different company to that which originally imported it, the fate of much of the material is uncertain. In the course of its investigations, EIA found repackaged material from IPR readily available for sale on the EU domestic market.

Redamed material from dubious sources?

In the summer of 1995, the European Commission granted two of Europe's leading distributors exclusive rights to import large quantities of reclaimed and re-used CFC-12 from the Russian Scientific Centre for Applied Chemistry and an associated company, KOMKON. The centre itself is a small pilot plant on the outskirts of St. Petersburg, only capable of producing about 100 tonnes per year of CFCs 11 and 12 and small quantities of specialist CFCs 115 and 114. How could the centre have the capacity to reclaim and export large quantities of recovered material to Europe and elsewhere? Why were the "recovered and re-used" CFCs of virgin quality (over 99.6% pure)? Why were CFCs being reclaimed in Russia when CFC production was still in full swing and nobody was bothering to reclaim material in Europe at that time? Other European companies complained bitterly, and threatened to import the material as well if the situation continued. The Commission acquiesced and withdrew the licences.
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Illegal Trade

Tallinn, Estonia – CFC entrapot

Tallinn is the major Baltic Sea port for transit of Russian cargo to Europe. It comes as a little surprise that many fly-by-night CFC brokers, such as Nordstream in the UK, Marine and Aviation Supplies and Frisco in Gibraltar and Madrid and SBJ Chemical Products in the Netherlands, all opened offices there.

Russian export data presented to the Implementation Committee of the Montreal Protocol is believed to have identified Estonia as a recipient of over 400 tonnes of CFC-12 in non-reusable cylinders in March 1996. Tests showed the product engineered from DaPens in the Far East.

In October 1996, a new company called Polar Cool S.L. suddenly appeared and flooded legitimate distributors with offers of cheap CFC-12. The company is run out of a warehouse and a suburban house on the outskirts of Madrid with an unregistered, national-rate phone number.

Financial analysis by Dunn and Bradstreet show total capitalization of the firm was only 500 000 pesetas (about £2 000), an impossibly small amount for a serious distribution business.

Analysis of material supplied by Polar Cool showed it to be below European or American standards, and it is believed to come from China. Customs figures for 1996 show that Spain was the recipient of 29.4 tonnes of CFC-12 from India and 41.1 tonnes from China despite a Commission decision setting the import quota to zero (for all Annex A, Group 1 CFCs across the Union). The import licences were granted to two companies, one of which was Polar Cool. The registered address of its imports turned out to be a recycling factory near a depot, but a town-house in the Las Rozas district of Madrid.

The other recipient of the Spanish imports from India and China is even more mysterious. The company's name was given as David World Trading S.L. but the registered address for their imports turned out to be an office belonging to a different firm called Ibercondor, S.A. who specialize in international shipping, transport and customs arrangements.

However, EEA investigators discovered that this was not the first time the man behind David World Trading had appeared in the illicit CFC business. Previously, the company operated as Dong Feng S.L. out of the back of a Chinese restaurant in Madrid. Claiming to be the "sole import agent for Chinese chemical gases for refrigeration", David Wang's "world trading" business address turns out to be on the top floor of a block of flats in an outer suburb of Madrid. Wang has offered considerable quantities of CFC-12 on behalf of the two companies from 1994 onwards.

The widespread evidence of illegal trading in Spain, plus the fact that the Spanish government has yet to put in place any penalties for smuggling, has prompted the industry association to launch a voluntary sales ban from the start of 1998 in the hope that the authorities will finally take the problem seriously.

Illegal Trade

A Bourgeois Black Market

There can be no doubt about the extent of CPC smuggling and its implications for the recovery of the ozone layer. However, while US authorities have responded with cross-agency co-operation and a series of high profile prosecutions, enforcement in Europe has lagged behind.

The following cases illustrate the widespread reach of the black market within Europe:

- Vast quantities of CFCs seized in the US first passed through Europe.
- In May 1995, both Homi Parel and Adi Dubash pleaded guilty to smuggling 126 tonnes of CFC-12 into the New York area in seven shipments from the British port of Felixstowe.

In September 1995, Jose Price of Florida was sentenced to 26 months in prison for illegally importing CFC-12 from a UK company called The Gas Man.

In August 1995, Ms Irma Henneberg, manager of a Florida shipping company, was found guilty of filing false shipping manifests and diverting 3 000-4 000 tonnes of CFC-12, worth over US $2 million, onto the domestic market. Much of this material was believed to have been handled by National Refrigerants in Birmingham but because Henneberg obtained import and export papers, the truth may never be known. She received 57 months in jail with a $10 000 fine and restrictions on future employment.

In March 1996, Robert Pennell of The Radiator Factory, Ronkorkonka NY, was sentenced to one year in prison and ordered to pay back $4.3 million for illegally importing over 300 tonnes of CFC-12 during 1994 from Nigeria and the UK.

In April 1997, Colin Dayton of Refrigerant Management Services, a former employee of National Refrigerants (US), was accused of illegally importing over 700 tonnes of CFC-12 into the US market between June 1994 and April 1995. One of his accomplices was named as Richard Pelisi, sales manager of National Refrigerants, who filed false shipping manifests showing the material had been exported to Venezuela. The CFCs were shipped from National Refrigerants in the UK.

In May 1997, three officials of Refrigeration USA pleaded guilty to smuggling 4 000 tonnes of CFC-12 into the US from the UK. The plea involved them surrendering property in Miami and London worth over $3 million. The material passed through Rahrberm in Wallisi, near Birmingham in the UK, before being diverted onto the US market.

Rahrberm also handled the trans-shipment operations for Ilse Burrell and Kerri Raja of Miami who were charged with smuggling 288 tons of CFC-12 into the US from 1993 onwards. Burrell fled to Costa Rica and had the dubious honour of being the first environmental criminal to be extradited.

In September 1996, Italian customs announced that they had uncovered a network of CFC smugglers as part of "Operation Clear Skies." Chemical tests showed the material seized had been produced in Europe for export to developing countries. The tip-off came from SIMI, the Italian Military Secret Service, who uncovered the information "in the context of activity over the last few months countering the proliferation of NBC [Nuclear, Biological and Chemical] arms."

The implication is that brokers in one trade are linked to those in another. The mechanism of smuggling bears a resemblance to "triangulation", a standard arms trade procedure for obscuring origins and true destinations of material.

- The Netherlands CFC Committee charged six firms with illegal import of ozone
deplating substances in 1994 and during check-ups on a number of depositories in Spring 1995, the Dutch Environment Inspectorate came across "several dozen tonnes of virgin CFCs which had wrongfully and deliberately been provided with the label 'regenerated'." To date, there have been 11 enforcement actions of CFC trade regulations.10

In a fax dated June 1995, the Netherlands-based SBG Group advertised its ability to bundle quantities of CFC-12 from Voco in Volgograd into Europe. The operation is said to have been running for over a year, and involves transporting the material via rail to Estonia and then by sea to Rotterdam. The company's director states: "The actual Russian origin of the product is of no influence on sales performance as the product will be packaged in Holland, thus obtaining the Dutch origin after packaging." As far as import restrictions went, SBG offered the following advice: "I can confirm that the importation of CFCs into the European Union under IPR is freely allowed and is in no way restricted or controlled by a quota system."

Faxes and Internet postings show a number of Chinese firms keen to supply the European market. On the ChemConnect web site, the Ningbo Free Zone Sino-Resource Import & Export Company offers CFCs 11 and 12, as well as HCFC-22, stating: "You can trust us for a reliable supplier [sic] of all freon gases and halon extinguisher with best quality and price in China." In a fax dated August 1996, the Sinoprochem Tianjin Imp/Exp Co. offers to supply CFC-12 to European customers and looks forward to doing "business with you in large quantities".

In November 1996, German trading firm Kehr-Kleinbach of Augsburg faxed distributors with an offer to supply 300 tonnes a month of recovered Russian CFC-12 - a vast amount of material - yet specifications show the material was too pure, and in too great a quantity, to have been recycled.

In June 1996, distributors around Europe were faxed by an Indian firm, Sunira Exports. The company offered to supply CFCs 11 and 12 claiming to be the marketing agent for one of the largest integrated fluorine chemical plants in the South-East Asia/Pacific region.

A leading refrigeration market specialist in Poland told EIA he had been offered CFC-12 smuggled out of Russia in both one tonne and much larger railway tank quantities. He says it is being falsely-labelled as HCFC-22 or HFC-134a and is smuggled in large quantities into Poland. A small amount of around 200 tonnes enters the Polish market, but the majority moves westward to Germany and beyond. Russian organised crime groups are alleged to be involved in the trade.

CFCs from Russia and Eastern Europe have also found their way into Austria falsely labelled as recycled material or as legitimate replacement refrigerants, crossing the Black sea to Vienna, Lutze and Krems. In contrast with much of the rest of Europe, the material is frequently shipped as 13.6kg cylinders rather than in bulk because Austria's emphasis on light industry means most end-use applications are piecemeal. In 1996, two car service companies based in Upper Austria and Vienna were penalised for receiving illegally imported Russian products in non-returnable cylinders.11 Austria may also play an important role as a transit country. Trade statistics compiled by the Austrian Finance Ministry show that imports of CFCs more than doubled between 1993 and 1994, from 744 tonnes to 1,518 tonnes. But most of these imports were unregistered with the Environment Ministry.12 Companies are believed to have stockpiled CFCs in 1994, when such imports were still unlicensed in Austria, with the specific intention of shipping them to other member states after Austria joined the EU on 1 January 1995.

**Conclusions**

There exists a widespread illegal trade in CFCs within Europe. Virgin CFCs have entered Europe under the guise of being recycled or reclaimed, banned products have been labelled as legal alternatives and material intended for repackaging and re-export has ended up in domestic European commerce.

Europe remains awash with CFCs, despite the fact that legitimate stokepiles are at their end, and illegal trade is undermining the impetus of the European phase-out. As long as the facility to sell the material remains available, smuggling will continue as the profits to be made from a relatively simple deception are large and sanctions are unlikely and comparatively small.

EIA suggests that a near-term ban on the placing on the market and sale of CFCs (except for substances covered by essential use exemptions) and the recycling and disposal of existing CFCs within the EU is the most effective solution to this problem of European enforcement. The European Commission proposed a resolution to this effect at the 15th Open-Ended Working Group of the Montreal Protocol in Nairobi in June. The EU must see its bold initiative to ban the domestic sale of CFCs through to a successful conclusion. Other Parties to the Protocol must recognise as their highest priority, the clear and compelling need to remove sources of illicit supply and initiate a final phase-out of CFC use.

**Recommendations**

1. The EU should initiate a final and comprehensive phase-out of CFC use in Europe through the ban on "the placing on the market and sale" of CFCs (currently under discussion in the Montreal Protocol and new Commission regulations).

2. A number of enforcement provisions should be imposed. The EU must:
   - Establish a central enforcement database.
   - Harmonise domestic legislation.
   - Identify remaining stockpiles and their purchase history. These should be exported for non-Article 5(1) use when the sales ban has been implemented to remove a source of illicit supply and sale.
   - Institute a review of recent customs imports and exports in all member states to identify whether imports are being routinely bypassed by customs who are simply unaware of the licensing requirement.
   - Institute a programme of training and awareness in EU customs personnel.
   - Nominate ports of entry to handle all Group A, B and C ODS transactions and concentrate enforcement activities at these points.

3. The EU should promote discussion and initiation of a sales ban on virgin material for all non-Article 5(1) countries to re-invoke the Parties' commitment to a final removal and destruction of ozone depleting substances. Recycling activities should be licensed and controlled by nominated, government-inspected facilities or re-exported.

4. Furthermore all Parties to the Montreal Protocol should:
   - Adopt a licensing system based on prior informed consent.
   - Report all exports and imports and notify the Secretariat of their capabilities to recycle and reclaim material.
   - Require that all imports destined as recycled material should attach proof from one of the centres nominated in (3). Concurrent with the operation of the petitioning system, dubious imports should be seized.
   - Parties should be obliged to submit reports detailing their efforts to suppress illegal trade, to publicise successes and identify weaknesses.
   - Penalise for any unlicensed import should be uniformly severe amongst Parties. To this end, the Secretariat should draw up model legislation.
   - Multi-lateral funding should be made available to Article 5(1) countries with production facilities to meet export controls and train customs operatives.
   - Parties that do not comply with the above procedures in the near-term should not be allowed to import or export ODS.